



colloquium-journal

ISSN 2520-6990

Międzynarodowe czasopismo naukowe

**Historical sciences
Agricultural sciences**

№12(99) 2021

Część 2



colloquium-journal

ISSN 2520-6990

ISSN 2520-2480

Colloquium-journal №12 (99), 2021

Część 2

(Warszawa, Polska)

Redaktor naczelny - **Paweł Nowak**
Ewa Kowalczyk

Rada naukowa

- **Dorota Dobija** - profesor i rachunkowości i zarządzania na uniwersytecie Koźmińskiego
- **Jemielniak Dariusz** - profesor dyrektor centrum naukowo-badawczego w zakresie organizacji i miejsc pracy, kierownik katedry zarządzania Międzynarodowego w Ku.
- **Mateusz Jabłoński** - politechnika Krakowska im. Tadeusza Kościuszki.
- **Henryka Danuta Stryczewska** – profesor, dziekan wydziału elektrotechniki i informatyki Politechniki Lubelskiej.
- **Bulakh Iryna Valerievna** - profesor nadzwyczajny w katedrze projektowania środowiska architektonicznego, Kijowski narodowy Uniwersytet budownictwa i architektury.
- **Leontiev Rudolf Georgievich** - doktor nauk ekonomicznych, profesor wyższej komisji atestacyjnej, główny naukowiec federalnego centrum badawczego chabarowska, dalekowschodni oddział rosyjskiej akademii nauk
- **Serebrennikova Anna Valerievna** - doktor prawa, profesor wydziału prawa karnego i kryminologii uniwersytetu Moskiewskiego M.V. Lomonosova, Rosja
- **Skopa Vitaliy Aleksandrovich** - doktor nauk historycznych, kierownik katedry filozofii i kulturoznawstwa
- **Pogrebnaya Yana Vsevolodovna** - doktor filologii, profesor nadzwyczajny, stawropolski państwowy Instytut pedagogiczny
- **Fanil Timeryanowicz Kuzbekov** - kandydat nauk historycznych, doktor nauk filologicznych. profesor, wydział Dziennikarstwa, Bashgosuniversitet
- **Aliyev Zakir Hussein oglu** - doctor of agricultural sciences, associate professor, professor of RAE academician RAPVHN and MAEP
- **Kanivets Alexander Vasilievich** - kandydat nauk technicznych, docent wydziału dyscypliny inżynierii ogólnej wydziału inżynierii i technologii państwowej akademii rolniczej w Połtawie
- **Yavorska-Vitkovska Monika** - doktor edukacji, szkoła Kuyavsky-Pomorsk w bidgoszczu, dziekan nauk o filozofii i biologii; doktor edukacji, profesor
- **Chernyak Lev Pavlovich** - doktor nauk technicznych, profesor, katedra technologii chemicznej materiałów kompozytowych narodowy uniwersytet techniczny ukraiны „Politechnika w Kijowie”
- **Vorona-Slivinskaya Lyubov Grigoryevna** - doktor nauk ekonomicznych, profesor, St. Petersburg University of Management Technologia i ekonomia
- **Voskresenskaya Elena Vladimirovna** doktor prawa, kierownik Katedry Prawa Cywilnego i Ochrony Własności Intelektualnej w dziedzinie techniki, Politechnika im. Piotra Wielkiego w Sankt Petersburgu
- **Tengiz Magradze** - doktor filozofii w dziedzinie energetyki i elektrotechniki, Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Gruzja
- **Usta-Azizova Dilnoza Ahrarovna** - kandydat nauk pedagogicznych, profesor nadzwyczajny, Tashkent Pediatric Medical Institute, Uzbekistan

    SlideShare



INDEX COPERNICUS
INTERNATIONAL

НАУЧНАЯ ЭЛЕКТРОННАЯ
БИБЛИОТЕКА
LIBRARY.RU

«Colloquium-journal»

Wydawca «Interdruk» Poland, Warszawa
Annopol 4, 03-236

E-mail: info@colloquium-journal.org
<http://www.colloquium-journal.org/>

CONTENTS

HISTORICAL SCIENCES

Bogatchuk S.
ELECTIONS TO THE VERKHOVNA RADA OF UKRAINE IN 1998: POLITICAL AND LEGAL ASPECTS3

Levchuk K.I.
CONCEPTUAL AND LEGAL STATUS OF PUBLIC ORGANIZATIONS IN UKRAINE (1990s)10

AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES

Shostya A., Pavlova I., Slynko V., Chukhlib Ye., Yukhno V., Shaferivskiy B., Sokirko M.
QUALITY OF THE POLTAVA MEAT BREEDING BOARS' SPERM PRODUCTION DEPENDING
ON THEIR USE REGIMENS AND UNDER THE EFFECT OF "HUMILID" FEED SUPPLEMENT18

Pantsyreva H., Mazur K.
THE INFLUENCE OF BIO-ORGANIC GROWING TECHNOLOGY ON THE PRODUCTIVITY OF LEGUMINS.....24

Poberezhets J.N., Lotka H.I.
PRODUCTIVITY OF LAYING HENS FED BY FEED ADDITIVES30

Попяк О.Г.
СУШКА СЕМЯН СОИ В ЭЛЕКТРОМАГНИТНОМ ПОЛЕ.....35

Ропіак О.Г.
DRYING OF SOYBEANS SEEDS IN THE ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD35

Амонс С.Е.
СУЧАСНИЙ СТАН ТА ПРОБЛЕМИ ІННОВАЦІЙНОГО РОЗВИТКУ ГАЛУЗІ КОРМОВИРОБНИЦТВА В
СІЛЬСЬКОГОСПОДАРСЬКИХ ПІДПРИЄМСТВАХ УКРАЇНИ.....40

Amons S.E.
THE CURRENT STATE AND PROBLEMS OF INNOVATIVE DEVELOPMENT
OF THE FEED PRODUCTION INDUSTRY OF AGRICULTURAL ENTERPRISES OF UKRAINE40

Mostovenko V., Didur I.
ECONOMIC AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF GROWING VEGETABLE PEAS47

Prokopchuk V., Pantsyreva H., Mazur K.
FEATURES OF CULTIVATION AND USE OF SPECIES
OF THE GENUS IRIS L. IN LANDSCAPING PODILLYA OF UKRAINE53

Шевчук В.Д., Мудрак Г.В., Франчук М.О.
ЕКОЛОГІЧНА ОЦІНКА ІНТЕНСИВНОСТІ ЗАБРУДЕННЯ ҐРУНТІВ ВАЖКИМИ МЕТАЛАМИ.....58

Shevchuk V.D., Mudrak G.V., Franchuk M.O.
ECOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF SOIL POLLUTION INTENSITY BY HEAVY METALS58

Pantsyreva H.,*Candidate of Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor,
Vinnytsia National Agrarian University.
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0539-5211>***Mazur K.***Candidate of Economics, Associate Professor,
Vinnytsia National Agrarian University.
[DOI: 10.24412/2520-6990-2021-1299-24-30](https://doi.org/10.24412/2520-6990-2021-1299-24-30)*

THE INFLUENCE OF BIO-ORGANIC GROWING TECHNOLOGY ON THE PRODUCTIVITY OF LEGUMINS

Abstract.

The article provides information about the current state of grain production from legumes. Data on the dynamics of their sown areas and yield levels are summarized. Also scientifically substantiated results of the analysis of varietal resources and productivity of the studied phytocenoses according to their agroecological plasticity and grain productivity in the conditions of climate change in the zone of the right-bank Forest-steppe of Ukraine. The most promising varieties by maturity group, yield level are determined. Therefore, the most productive varieties were identified. Various technological aspects of cultivation have been studied in order to ensure the rational use of natural potential, which will further contribute to the expansion of sown areas of these plants. The relevance of the research is justified by the objectives of applied research on the basis of research sites of Vinnytsia National Agrarian University ("Development of methods for improving the technology of growing legumes using biofertilizers, bacterial preparations, foliar fertilizers and physiologically active substances"). The introduction into production practice of highly productive varieties of legumes will reduce the deficit of vegetable protein, as well as improve the physico-chemical and phytosanitary conditions of the soil.

Keywords: *legumes, cultivation technology, cultivation zone, agroclimatic potential, climate change.*

Formulation of the problem. Strategically, Ukraine should take a course to reduce the export of raw materials and create conditions for the organization of in-depth processing, which will contribute to: meeting the needs of intensive animal husbandry with high-protein feed; creation of additional jobs; increase in tax revenues; ensuring food and environmental security of Ukraine. Intensification of fodder grain production should become one of the strategic directions of accelerated development of all agro-industrial production of Ukraine by 2030. For this purpose it is necessary to focus on creation of high-yielding varieties their cultivation, which will be based on the effective use of life factors (light, heat, moisture, nutrients), which will promote maximum synthesis of organic matter and protein. In addition, in the context of climate change, it will be necessary to form a common agricultural policy for the production of high-protein crops with the EU. This is an urgent and important task, the solution of which will be a significant contribution to solving the problem of vegetable protein, the formation of its own protein resources, increasing soil fertility and strengthening the economy of Ukraine. Therefore, the leading role in solving these issues is given to legumes.

Legumes occupy an exceptional place in the grain and fodder balance of agricultural formations of Ukraine. Their grain and green mass in terms of protein content exceeds cereals more than twice, in terms of amino acid composition their proteins are much better digested, give the cheapest protein, include in the biological cycle nitrogen air, which is not available for other crops.

Analysis of recent research and publications.

Scientific the basics of the development of legume production are revealed in the works of many domestic and foreign authors. O. Babych, V. Petrychenko, V. Kaminsky, M. Bakhmat, V. Mazur, H. Pantsyreva, O. Tkachuk, N. Telecalo devoted to the study of technological methods of growing legumes. Economists G. Kaletnyk, I. Goncharuk, K. Mazur, V. Andriyчук, I. Balanyuk, V. Blagodatny, O. Borodina, O. Garkusha, V. Geyts, G. Zhuikov, V. Zlenko, I. Irtysheva, Y. Kernasyuk, and other scientists. They laid scientific advances on the theoretical, methodological, methodological and instrumental provisions of grain production.

Presenting main material. Field experiments were conducted during 2016-2018 on the basis of the Research Farm «Agronomiche» of Vinnytsia National Agrarian University in the village of Agronomichne of Vinnytsia district of Vinnytsia region. The territory of the right-bank Forest-Steppe of Ukraine, the place of research, is characterized by a favorable agro-climatic potential for growing most crops, including legumes. In particular, there are sufficient amounts of active air temperatures and rainfall per year and their distribution over the growing season. However, the real bioclimatic resources of the region are not enough to better realize the productivity potential of legumes. Therefore, there is a need to develop new and improve existing models of technologies for growing legumes. Clarification of these issues is relevant and requires detailed studies, especially on the development of zonal cultivation technologies, which take into account the specifics of soil and climatic potential of the growing region.

Results. The results of research indicate a significant impact of the studied technological methods of cultivation on the level of yield of legumes (Table 1).

Table 1

**Grain yield of legumes depending on technological methods of cultivation,
t / ha (average for 2016-2018)**

| № | Culture | Variety | Pre-sowing seed treatment | Retardant concentration, % | Yield, t / ha | Increase from p.s.t., t / ha | Increase from the concentration of the retardant, t / ha |
|---|----------------------|------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Sowing peas | Tsarevych | without p.s.t. | without treatment (C) | 2,05 | - | - |
| | | | | 0,5 | 2,14 | - | 0,1 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 2,53 | - | 0,5 |
| | | Prystan | without p.s.t. | without treatment | 2,15 | 0,1 | - |
| | | | | 0,5 | 2,25 | 0,2 | 0,2 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 2,65 | 0,6 | 0,5 |
| 2 | White lupine | Veresnevyi | without p.s.t. | without treatment (C) | 2,74 | - | - |
| | | | | 0,5 | 2,94 | - | 0,2 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 3,33 | - | 0,6 |
| | | Chabanskyi | without p.s.t. | without treatment | 2,88 | 0,1 | - |
| | | | | 0,5 | 3,05 | 0,3 | 0,2 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 3,44 | 0,7 | 0,6 |
| 3 | Lupine narrow-leaved | Olimp | without p.s.t. | without treatment (C) | 2,04 | - | - |
| | | | | 0,5 | 2,26 | - | 0,2 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 2,57 | - | 0,5 |
| | | Peremojets | without p.s.t. | without treatment | 2,18 | 0,1 | - |
| | | | | 0,5 | 2,35 | 0,3 | 0,2 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 2,60 | 0,6 | 0,5 |
| 4 | Chickpeas | Pegas | without p.s.t. | without treatment (C) | 2,11 | - | - |
| | | | | 0,5 | 2,45 | - | 0,3 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 2,85 | - | 0,7 |
| | | Skarb | without p.s.t. | without treatment | 2,25 | 0,1 | - |
| | | | | 0,5 | 2,64 | 0,5 | 0,4 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 3,08 | 0,9 | 0,8 |
| 5 | Soybean | Holubka | without p.s.t. | without treatment (C) | 3,04 | - | - |
| | | | | 0,5 | 3,23 | - | 0,2 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 3,42 | - | 0,4 |
| | | Azymut | without p.s.t. | without treatment | 3,12 | 0,1 | - |
| | | | | 0,5 | 3,43 | 0,3 | 0,3 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 3,66 | 0,5 | 0,5 |
| <p>HIP_{0,05} т/га (sowing peas): A-0,07; B-0,10; C-0,08; AB-0,14; AC-0,12; BC-0,17; ABC-0,24 2016 HIP_{0,05} т/га: A-0,04; B-0,05; C-0,04; AB-0,07; AC-0,06; BC-0,08; ABC-0,12 2017 HIP_{0,05} т/га: A-0,05; B-0,06; C-0,06; AB-0,04; AC-0,08; BC-0,11; ABC-0,16 2018 HIP_{0,05} т/га: A-0,04; B-0,06; C-0,05; AB-0,04; AC-0,07; BC-0,10; ABC-0,14 HIP_{0,05} т/га (white lupine): A-0,05; B-0,08; C-0,06; AB-0,12; AC-0,10; BC-0,15; ABC-0,04 2016 HIP_{0,05} т/га: A-0,03; B-0,04; C-0,03; AB-0,06; AC-0,05; BC-0,07; ABC-0,10 2017 HIP_{0,05} т/га: A-0,04; B-0,07; C-0,07; AB-0,10; AC-0,07; BC-0,12; ABC-0,15 2018 HIP_{0,05} т/га: A-0,05; B-0,05; C-0,04; AB-0,07; AC-0,06; BC-0,11; ABC-0,13 HIP_{0,05} т/га (lupine narrow-leaved): A-0,05; B-0,08; C-0,06; AB-0,12; AC-0,10; BC-0,14; ABC-0,09 2016 HIP_{0,05} т/га: A-0,03; B-0,04; C-0,03; AB-0,05; AC-0,04; BC-0,08; ABC-0,10 2017 HIP_{0,05} т/га: A-0,04; B-0,05; C-0,05; AB-0,06; AC-0,06; BC-0,09; ABC-0,12 2018 HIP_{0,05} т/га: A-0,04; B-0,06; C-0,05; AB-0,07; AC-0,07; BC-0,08; ABC-0,13 HIP_{0,05} т/га (chickpeas): A-0,04; B-0,07; C-0,08; AB-0,06; AC-0,09; BC-0,2 ABC-0,08 2016 HIP_{0,05} т/га: A-0,05; B-0,04; C-0,03; AB-0,05; AC-0,04; BC-0,07; ABC-0,09 2017 HIP_{0,05} т/га: A-0,06; B-0,05; C-0,05; AB-0,06; AC-0,08; BC-0,08; ABC-0,10 2018 HIP_{0,05} т/га: A-0,07; B-0,04; C-0,02; AB-0,08; AC-0,03; BC-0,04; ABC-0,13. HIP_{0,05} т/га (soybean): A-0,02; B-0,03; C-0,03; AB-0,02; AC-0,04; BC-0,14; ABC-0,05 2016 HIP_{0,05} т/га: A-0,02; B-0,03; C-0,03; AB-0,02; AC-0,02; BC-0,02; ABC-0,05 2017 HIP_{0,05} т/га: A-0,02; B-0,01; C-0,02; AB-0,03; AC-0,03; BC-0,03; ABC-0,06 2018 HIP_{0,05} т/га: A-0,03; B-0,02; C-0,03; AB-0,03; AC-0,02; BC-0,02; ABC-0,03</p> | | | | | | | |

Field studies have established the maximum grain yield in legume varieties. Thus, in sowing peas the most productive variety was Prystan (2.6 t / ha), white lupine – Chabanskyi (3.4 t / ha), narrow-leaved lupine – Peremozhets (2.6 t / ha), chickpea – Skarb (3.0 t / ha) and in soybeans – Azimuth (2.6 t / ha). Therefore, the maximum yield increments were obtained by treating the

seeds with the bacterial preparation Rhizohumin and spraying the crops with chlormequat chloride retardant in the budding phase.

As a result of the conducted researches it is established that with increase of grain productivity the yield of crude protein also increased (table 2).

Table 2

Content and yield of crude grain protein of legumes depending on technological methods of cultivation, t / ha (average for 2016-2018).

| № | Culture | Variety | Pre-sowing seed treatment | Retardant concentration, % | Crude protein, % | Yield of crude protein, t / ha |
|---|----------------------|------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | Sowing peas | Tsarevych | without p.s.t. | without treatment (C) | 19,8 | 0,40 |
| | | | | 0,5 | 20,2 | 0,42 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 21,3 | 0,53 |
| | | Prystan | Rhyzogumin | without p.s.t. | 20,7 | 0,49 |
| | | | | 0,5 | 21,0 | 0,44 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 21,5 | 0,47 |
| 2 | White lupine | Veresnevyi | without p.s.t. | without treatment (C) | 34,6 | 0,93 |
| | | | | 0,5 | 35,1 | 1,02 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 36,3 | 1,20 |
| | | Chabanskyi | Rhyzogumin | without p.s.t. | 35,8 | 1,07 |
| | | | | 0,5 | 36,1 | 1,01 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 36,5 | 1,09 |
| 3 | Lupine narrow-leaved | Olimp | without p.s.t. | without treatment (C) | 30,7 | 0,61 |
| | | | | 0,5 | 31,1 | 0,68 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 32,0 | 0,80 |
| | | Peremojets | Rhyzogumin | without p.s.t. | 31,5 | 0,75 |
| | | | | 0,5 | 31,7 | 0,67 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 32,3 | 0,74 |
| 4 | Chickpeas | Pegas | without p.s.t. | without treatment (C) | 24,8 | 0,52 |
| | | | | 0,5 | 25,2 | 0,60 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 26,2 | 0,73 |
| | | Skarb | Rhyzogumin | without p.s.t. | 25,7 | 0,69 |
| | | | | 0,5 | 26,1 | 0,57 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 26,4 | 0,67 |
| 5 | Soybean | Holubka | without p.s.t. | without treatment (C) | 33,3 | 0,67 |
| | | | | 0,5 | 34,2 | 0,75 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 36,2 | 0,87 |
| | | Azymut | Rhyzogumin | without p.s.t. | 35,4 | 0,81 |
| | | | | 0,5 | 34,2 | 0,72 |
| | | | | 0,75 | 35,6 | 0,85 |
| | | | | 1 | 37,8 | 0,98 |
| | | | | 1 | 36,1 | 0,90 |

The maximum yields of crude protein per unit area were obtained by treating the seeds with the bacterial preparation Rhizohumin and spraying the crops with chlormequat chloride retardant in the budding phase. Due to the increase in yield, the highest yield of crude protein (0.93 – 1.19 t / ha) was in white lupine plants. Thus, in pea sowing the yield of crude protein was the

highest in the variety Prystan (0.59 t / ha), white lupine – Chabanskyi (1.19 t / ha), narrow-leaved lupine – Peremozhets (0.87 t / ha), chickpeas – Skarb (0.82 t / ha) and in soybeans – Azimuth (0.98 t / ha).

Conclusions. Our improved model of bioorganic varietal technology for growing legumes using the pro-

posed bioorganic and technological measures will increase the production of quality grain of the studied crops, increase the total harvest of crude protein and increase the level of biological nitrogen fixation in the Forest-Steppe Right Bank.

References

1. Albinus M. Effects of land use practices on livelihoods in the transboundary sub-catchments of the Lake Victoria Basin. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*. Vol. 2. no. 10. 2008. pp. 309-317.
2. Bakhmat OM Influence of agrotechnical measures on soybean productivity in the conditions of the western region of Ukraine. *Feed and feed production: interdepartmental. topic. Science. zb.* / [editor: V.F. Petrichenko (ed.) And others]. Vinnytsia: Marushchak AI, 2010. Issue. 66. P. 103-108.
3. Bandura V., Mazur V., Yaroshenko L., Rubanenko O. Research on sunflower seeds drying process in a monolayer tray vibration dryer based on infrared radiation. *INMATEN – Agricultural Engineering*, vol. 57, №1, 2019. P. 233-242.
4. Begey S. V., Shuvar I. A. (2007). *Ecological Agriculture: Textbook*. Lviv: Novyi Svit-2000. 429 p.
5. Bransby D. I. Compatibility of switchgrass as an energy crop in farming systems of the southeastern USA. D. I. Bransby, R. Rodriguez-Kabana,
6. Bulgakov V., Adamchuk V., Kaletnik G., Arak M., Olt J. 2014. Mathematical model of vibration digging up of root crops from soil. *Agronomy Research*. № 12 (1). P. 41-58.
7. Bulgakov V., Kaletnik H., Goncharuk I., Ivanovs S., Usenko M. Results of experimental investigations of a flexible active harrow with loosening teeth. *Agronomy Research*. 2019. № 17(5). P. 1839–1845.
8. Chinchyk OS Influence of fertilizer on yield of legumes in the conditions of the Western Forest-Steppe. *Feed and feed production: interdepartmental. topic. Science. zb. NAAS*; [editor: V.F. Petrichenko (ed.) And others]. Vinnytsia, 2012. Vip. 72. pp. 64-67.
9. Cholovskyi Yu.M. (2010). Osoblyvosti vodospozhyvannia posivamy liupynu vuzkolystoho zalezno vid zastosuvannia mineralnykh dobryv. *Kormy i kormovyrobnytstvo – Forage and feed production*. Vyp. 66. 146- 147.
10. Cultural Pasture: Patent No 40618 / V. L. Puyu, M. I. Bakhmat, S. A. Tsvigun; Podillya State Agrarian Engineering University, UA. Application dated 01.07.2008; published 27.04.2009. *Industrial Property*. Kyiv, 2009. Bulletin No 8.
11. Datta, A., Hossain, A., Roy, S. 2019. An Overview on Biofuels and Their Advantages and Disadvantages. *Asian Journal of Chemistry*, 31(8). 1851-1858. DOI: 10.14233/ajchem.2019.22098
12. Didur I., Bakhmat M., Chynchyk O., Pantsyreva H., Telekalo N., Tkachuk O. Substantiation of agroecological factors on soybean agrophytocenoses by analysis of variance of the Right-Bank ForestSteppe in Ukraine. 2020. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*. № 10(5). 54–61.
13. Didur I., Pantsyreva H., Telekalo N. Agroecological rationale of technological methods of growing legumes. *The scientific heritage*. 2020. 52. P. 3–14.
14. Didur I.M., Prokopchuk V.M., Pantsyreva H.V. Investigation of biomorphological and decorative characteristics of ornamental species of the genus *Lupinus L.* *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*. 2019. Vol. 9 (3). C. 287-290.
15. Dospikhov B.A. *Metodyka polevoho opyta (s osnovamy statystycheskoi obrabotky rezultatov yssledovanyi)*. Yzd. 5-e dop. y pererab. M.: Ahropromyzzdat, 1985. 351.
16. Egli D. B. Variation in leaf starch and sink limitations during seed filling in soybean. *Crop Science*. 1999. 39. P. 1361-1368.
17. *Environmental Issues of Agriculture* / I. D. Prymak, Y. P. Manko, N. M. Ridey et al.; Ed. I. D. Primak. Kyiv: Center of Educational Literature, 2010. 456 p.
18. Honcharuk I., Kovalchuk S. *Agricultural Production Greening Management in the Eastern Partnership countries with the EU. Theoretical and practical aspects of the development of the European Research Area*. Publishing House «Baltija Publishing», Riga, Latvia. 2020. P. 42-68
19. Honcharuk I.V., Branitsky Yu.Yu., Tomashuk I.V. The main aspects of effective formation and use of resource potential in agricultural enterprises (on the example of Vladovo-Lyulinetska DSS IBK and the Central Bank of NAAS of Ukraine). *Economy. Finances. Management: current issues of science and practice*. 2017. № 10 (26). Pp. 54-68
20. Kaletnik G.M., Zabolotnyi, G.M. Kozlovskiy S.V (2011), «Innovative models of strategic management economic potential within contemporary economic systems», *Actual Problems of Economics*, vol, 4(118), pp.11.
21. Kaletnik G. Honcharuk, I. 2013. Innovatsiine zabezpechennia rozvytku biopalyvnoi haluzi: svitovyi ta vitchyzniani dosvid [Innovative support for the development of the biofuel industry: world and national experience]. In *Biznes Inform [Business Inform]*, 2013, no. 9, pp. 155–160.
22. Kaletnik G., Honcharuk I., Okhota Yu. The Waste-Free Production Development for the Energy Autonomy Formation of Ukrainian Agricultural Enterprises. *Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism*, 2020, Volume XI, Summer, 3(43): 513-522. DOI:10.14505/jemt.v11.3(43).02
23. Kaletnik G., 2018. *Production and use of bio-fuels: Second edition, supplemented: textbook*. Vinnytsia: LLC «Nilan-Ltd», 336 p.
24. Kaletnik G., Honcharuk I., Yemchyk T., Okhota Yu. The World Experience in the Regulation of the Land Circulation. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*. 2020. № 9(2). P. 557-568
25. Kaletnik G. 2018. Diversification of production of biofuel – as the basis of maintenance of food, power, economic and environmental safety of Ukraine. [Diversification of production of biofuel – as the basis of maintenance of food, power, economic and environmental safety of Ukraine]. *Visnyk ahrarnoi nauky – Bulletin of agrarian science*, 11, 169-176. Retrieved

from http://agrovisnyk.com/pdf/en_2018_11_21.pdf [in English].

26. Kaletnik G.M., Yanovych V.P., Substantiation of operating and design parameters of a gyration mill for the production of highly active premixes, *Vibrations in engineering and technology*, 84 (2017), nr. 1, 15-21

27. Kaletnik, G., & Lutkovska, S. (2020). Innovative Environmental Strategy for Sustainable Development. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*, 9(2), 89. <https://doi.org/10.14207/ejsd.2020.v9n2p89>

28. Kaletnik, G., Shubravskaya, O., Ibatullin, M., Krysanov, D., Starychenko, Y., Tkachenko, K., Varchenko, O. (2019). Features of Food Security of the Country in Conditions of Economic Instability. *Int. J. Manag. Bus. Res.*, 9 (4): 176-186.

29. Kantolic A. G. Development and seed number in indeterminate soybean as affected by timing and duration of exposure to long photoperiods after flowering. *Annals of Botany*. 99. 2007. P. 925-933.

30. Kolesnik, S. 2012. Bacterial fertilizer to optimize nitrogen and phosphorus nutrition soybeans, chickpeas, peas, lentils and commit. *Feed and fodder*. 73:145-151.

31. Kosse, V. Mathew, J. Design of hammer mills for optimum performance. *Proceeding of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers*. 2017. № 215. P. 87-94.

32. Kukharchuk V.V., Kazyv S.S., Bykovsky S.A., Discrete wavelet transformation in spectral analysis of vibration processes at hydropower units, *Przeglad Elektrotechniczny*, 93 (2017), Nr 5, 65-68

33. Kupchuk I.M., Solona O.V., Derevenko I.A., Tverdokhlib I.V., Verification of the mathematical model of the energy consumption drive for vibrating disc crusher, *Inmateh – Agricultural Engineering*, 55 (2018), nr. 2, 111-118

34. Li J., Wang E., Chen W., Chen X. "Genetic diversity and potential for promotion of plant growth detected in nodule endophytic bacteria of soybean grown in Heilongjiang province of China. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*. Vol. 40. 2008. pp. 238- 246.

35. Ma Z. Impact of row spacing, nitrogen rate, and time on carbon partitioning of switchgrass Z. Ma, C. W. Wood, D. I. Bransby. *Biomass Bioenergy*, 2001. No. 20. P. 413-419. 16.

36. Malchevskaya, E., Mylenkaya, G. 1981. The comments and Animal Husbandry quality forage analysis. *Minsk . Harvest*, P. 143.

37. Mazur V., Didur I., Myalkovsky R., Patsyryeva H., Telekalo N., Tkach O. The productivity of intensive pea varieties depending on the seeds treatment and foliar fertilizing under conditions of right-bank forest-steppe Ukraine. 2020. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*. № 10(1). 101-105.

38. Mazur V.A., Didur I.M., Patsyryeva H.V., Telekalo N.V. Energy-economic efficiency of growth of grain-crop cultures in conditions of Right-Bank Forest-Steppe of Ukraine. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*. 2018. №8(4). 26-33.

39. Mazur V.A., Mazur K.V., Patsyryeva H.V. Influence of the technological aspects growing on quality composition of seed white lupine (*Lupinus albus* L.) in the Forest Steppe of Ukraine. *Ukrainian Journal of*

Ecology. 2019. Vol. 9. P. 50-55. <https://www.ujecology.com/archive.html>

40. Mazur V.A., Mazur K.V., Patsyryeva H.V., Alekseev O.O. Ecological and economic evaluation of varietal resources *Lupinus albus* L. in Ukraine *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*. 2018. Volume 8.148-153.

41. Mazur V.A., Patsyryeva H.V., Mazur K.V., Didur I.M. 2019. Influence of the assimilation apparatus and productivity of white lupine plants. *Agronomy Research* 17(X), 206-209. URL: <https://doi.org/10.15159/AR.19.024>.

42. Mazur V.A., Patsyryeva H.V., Mazur K.V., Myalkovsky R.O., Alekseev O.O. Agroecological prospects of using corn hybrids for biogas production. *Agronomy Research* 18(1), 177-182, 2020.

43. Mazur, V. A., & Patsyryeva, H. V. (2017). Vplyv tekhnolohichnykh pryiomiv vyroshchuvannya na urozhainist i yakist zerna liupynu biloho v umovakh Pravoberezhnoho Lisostepu. *Sil'ske hospodarstvo i lisivnytstvo*, 7, 27-36.

44. Mazur, V. A., Myalkovsky, R.O., Mazur, K. V., Patsyryeva, H. V., Alekseev, O.O. (2019). Influence of the Photosynthetic Productivity and Seed Productivity of White Lupine Plants. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*, 9(4), 665-670.

45. Mazur, V.A., Branitskyi, Y.Y., Patsyryeva, H.V.(2020). Bioenergy and economic efficiency technological methods growing of switchgrass. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*, 10(2), 8-15.

46. Mazur, V.A., Didur, I.M., Patsyryeva, H.V., & Telekalo, N.V. (2018). Energy-economic efficiency of grain-crop cultures in the conditions of the right-bank Forest-Steppe of Ukraine. *Ukrainian J Ecol*, 8(4), 26-33.

47. Mazur, V.A., Mazur, K.V., Patsyryeva, H.V., Alekseev, O.O. (2018). Ecological and economic evaluation of varietal resources *Lupinus albus* L. in Ukraine. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*, 8(4), 148-153.

48. Melnychuk, T., Patyka, V. 2011. Microbial preparations bioorganic farming system. Collected articles "Third All-Ukrainian Congress of Ecologists with international participation." *Vynnytsya. Tom.2: 423-426*.

49. Metodologiya i praktyka vykorystannya mikrobnix preparativ u tekhnolohiyax vyroshchuvannya silskogospodarskykh kul'tur [Methodology and practice of microbial drugs use in crop growing technologies] / V. V. Volkogon, A. S. Zaryshnyak, I. V. Grynyk ta in. (2011). *Ky'yiv: Agrarna nauka*, 153.

50. Mohamed Z., El-Sayed S., Radwan T., El-Wahab G. "Potency evaluation of *Serratiamarcescens* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* as biocontrol agents for root-knot nematodes in Egypt". *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*. Vol.4. no. 1. 2009. pp. 93- 102.

51. Monarkh Veronika Valentynivna, Patsyryeva Hanna Vitaliivna. (2019). Stages of the Environmental Risk Assessment. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*, 9(4), 484-492. DOI: 10.15421/2019_779

52. Muir J. P. Biomass production of Alamo switchgrass in response to nitrogen, phosphorus, and row spacing. *J. P. Muir, M. A. Sanderson, W. R. Ocuppaugh at all. Agron J.*, 2001. No 93. P. 896-901.

53. Naum Raichesberg (2000). *Adolphe Quetelet*,

His Life and Research Activities. Moscow: Elibron Classics, 2000. 98 p.

54. Osoro N., Kawaka F., Naluyange V. "Effects of water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes* [mart.] solms) compost on growth and yield of common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) in Lake Victoria Basin". *European International Journal of Science and Technology*. Vol. 3. no.7. 2014. pp. 173-186.

55. Ovcharuk V.I., Mulyarchuk O.I., Myalkovsky R.O., Bezikonnyi P.V., Kravchenko V.S., Klymoych N.M. Parameters of beet plants. *Bulletin of the Uman National University of Horticulture*. 2019. № 1. P. 70–75.

56. Palamarchuk V., Honcharuk I., Honcharuk T., Telekalo N. Effect of the elements of corn cultivation the technology on bioethanol production under conditions of the rightbank forest-steppe of Ukraine. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*. 2018. Vol. 8(3). P. 47-53.

57. Pansyryeva H.V. (2018). Research on varietal resources of herbaceous species of *Paeonia* L. in Ukraine. *Scientific Bulletin of the NLTU of Ukraine*, 28 (8), 74-78. <https://doi.org/10.15421/40280815>

58. Pansyryeva H.V., Myalkovsky R.O., Yasinetska I.A., Prokopchuk V.M. Productivity and economical appraisal of growing raspberry according to substrate for mulching under the conditions of podilia area in Ukraine. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*. 2020. Vol. 10(1). P. 210-214.

59. Pansyryeva, H. V., Mykoliuk, O. O., & Semchuk, V. V. (2019). Suchasnyi stan kolektsii pivonii na bazi botanichnoho sadu "Podillia" Vinnytskoho natsionalnoho aharnoho universytetu. *Scientific Bulletin of UNFU*, 29(8), 46–50. <https://doi.org/10.36930/40290806>

60. Pansyryeva, H.V. (2019). Morphological and ecological-biological evaluation of the decorative species of the genus *Lupinus* L.. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*, 9(3), 74-77.

61. Pansyryeva, H.V. Technological aspects of biogas production from organic raw materials. *Bulletin of KhNTUSG them. P. Vasilenko*. Kharkiv, 2019. P. 276-290.

62. Pruvot E.B., Claeys-Kulik A.L., Estermann T. Designing strategies for efficient funding of universities in Europe. *DEFINE Project Paper*. Brussels: European University Association. 2015. P. 20-22.

63. Puyu V. L. (2014). Modern Design of Cultivated Pastures in the Western Forest Steppe. Seminar Program of Uman NUS "Varietal Technologies as a Factor in the Implementation of Agroecosystems Biopotentials in the Programming System of Field and Forage Crops Yield". Uman, 15-16 May 2014.

64. Puyu V. L., Bakhmat M. I., Rykhlivskiy I. P., Shcherbatiuk N. V. (2019). Optimization of Conveyor Production of Green Fodder. *World Science*. No 7 (47). Vol. 1. doi: 10.31435/rsglobal_ws/31072019/6587.

65. Rai R. K., Tripathi N., Gautam D., & Singh P. (2017). Exogenous application of ethrel and gibberellic acid stimulates physiological growth of late planted sugarcane with short growth period in subtropical India. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 36(2), 472-486.

66. Razanov S.F. Tkachuk O.P., Mazur V.A., Didur I.M. Effect of bean perennial plants growing on soil heavy metal concentrations. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*. 2018. 8(2). 294-300 doi: 10.15421/2018_341.

67. Rohach V.V., Rohach T.I., Kylyvnyk A.M., Polyvanyi S.V., Bayurko N.V., Nikitchenko L.O., Tkachuk O.O., Shevchuk O.A., Hudzevych L.S., Levchuk N.V. The influence of synthetic growth promoters on morphophysiological characteristics and biological productivity of potato culture. *Modern Phytomorphology*. 2020. 14. 111–114.

68. S. E. Sladden. *Biomass Conf. of the Americas*. Burlington, 1993. P.229–234.

69. Shatilov I. S., Chudnovskiy A. F. (1980). Agrophysical, Agrometeorological and Agrotechnical Crop Programming Fundamentals: ACS of TP Principles in Land Cultivation. Leningrad: Gidrometeoizdat, 1980. 320 p.

70. Solona O., Kupchuk I. Dynamic synchronization of vibration exciters of the three-mass vibration mill. *Przeglad Elektrotechniczny*, 2020, 96(3), 161-165. DOI: 10.15199/48.2020.03.35

71. V.G. Kuryata, S.V. Polyvanyi, O.A. Shevchuk, O. Tkachuk. 2019. Morphogenesis and the effectiveness of the production process of oil poppy under the complex action of retardant chlormequat chloride and growth stimulant treptolem. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*. 9 (1). 127-134.

72. Varchenko O., Krysanov D., Shubravskaya O., Khakhula L., Gavryk O., Byba V., Honcharuk I. Supply Chain Strategy in Modernization of State Support Instruments for Small Farms in Ukraine. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*. 2020. Vol. 9. № 1. P. 536-543 <https://ojs.excelingtech.co.uk/index.php/IJSCM/article/view/4326>

73. Vdovenko S.A., Prokopchuk V.M., Palamarchuk I.I., Pansyryeva H.V. (2018). Effectiveness of the application of soil milling in the growing of the squash (*Cucurbita pepo* var. *giraumontia*) in the right-bank forest steppe of Ukraine. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*, 8(4), 1-8.

74. Vdovenko, S.A., Pansyryeva, G.V., Palamarchuk, I.I., & Lytvyniuk, H.V. (2018). Symbiotic potential of snap beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) depending on biological products in agroecosis of the right-bank forest-steppe of Ukraine. *Ukrainian J Ecol*, 8(3), 270-274.

75. Vdovenko, S.A., Prokopchuk, V.M., Palamarchuk, I.I., & Pansyryeva, H.V. (2018). Effectiveness of the application of soil milling in the growing of the squash (*Cucurbita pepo* var. *giraumontia*) in the right-bank forest steppe of Ukraine. *Ukrainian J Ecol*, 8(4), 1-5.

76. Wolters D., Beste A. Biomasse – umweltfreundlicher Energieträger? *Ökologie und Landbau*. 116, 4, 2000. S. 12-14.

77. Yanovych, V., Honcharuk, T., Honcharuk, I. & Kovalova, K. (2018). Engineering management of vibrating machines for targeted mechanical activation of premix components. *INMATEH - Agricultural Engineering*, 54(1), 25-32.

78. Yhurber J.A. Inhibitory effect of gibberellins on nodulation in dwarf beans, *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Nature. 1958. Vol. 181. P. 1082-1083.

79. Yowling W.A., Buirchell B.J., Tarta M.E. Lupin. *Lupinus L.*, Promoting the conservation and use of underutilized and neglected crops 23. Institute of Plant Iyenetis and crop Plant Research, yatersleben. International Plant Iyenetic Resources Institute. Rome, 1998. P. 112-114.

80. Zhao, H., Cao, H., Ming-Zhen, P., Sun, Y., & Liu, T. (2017). The role of plant growth regulators in a

plant aphid parasitoid tritrophic system. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 36(4), 868-876.

81. O.A. Shevchuk, O. Tkachuk, V.G. Kuryata, O.O. Khodanitska, S.V. Polyvanyi. 2019. Features of leaf photosynthetic apparatus of sugar beet under retardants treatment. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*. 2019. 9 (1). P. 115-120.

82. O.O. Khodanitska, V. G. Kuryata, O.A. Shevchuk, O.O. Tkachuk, I.V. Poprotska. 2019. Effect of treptolem on morphogenesis and productivity of lin seed plants. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*. 9 (2). 9-126.

UDC: 636.087.7:636.59

Poberezhets J.N.,

Candidate of Agricultural sciences, Associate Professor

Lotka H.I.

Candidate of Agricultural sciences, Associate Professor

Vinnitsia National Agrarian University 3, Soniachna str., Vinnitsia, 21008, Ukraine

[DOI: 10.24412/2520-6990-2021-1299-30-34](https://doi.org/10.24412/2520-6990-2021-1299-30-34)

PRODUCTIVITY OF LAYING HENS FED BY FEED ADDITIVES

Abstract.

The aim of the experiment was to research the effect of the enzyme additive AlfaGal on egg-laying ability and egg quality of laying hens. It was found that the average daily, absolute and relative gains increased by 9.9% ($P \leq 0.05$), 10%, respectively, and 3.2% for poultry fed by the feed additive than control counterparts.

The AlfaGal enzyme additive application for laying hens feeding increases the gross collection of eggs by 6.2% ($P \leq 0.05$) compared with control counterparts.

The enzyme additives application in the experimental poultry feeding reduces feed costs by 10 eggs by 5.5% compared to the control.

Using an enzyme additive for laying hens feeding increases the weight of the egg by 7.6% ($P \leq 0.05$).

Egg white weight increases by 5.4% ($P \leq 0.05$) in the second experimental group under the action of AlfaGal.

Keywords: laying hens, enzyme preparation, feed, eggs.

INTRODUCTION

Today, it is common practice to use enzymes to increase the nutritional value of the diet and the nutrient composition variability of such feed components as phytase, enzymes [4, 7, 10].

There are many manufacturers and suppliers of feed enzymes on the market today, so the feeding specialist has a huge range to choose from. However, there is a significant problem in finding effective drugs [1, 3, 6].

Enzymes have a different mechanism of action on the body of animals than hormones and biostimulants. They are not accumulated in the animal body and livestock products as a part of the final products. Animals and poultry produce their own enzymes in the digestive tract they are the hydrolysis of feed nutrients. Adult animals can digest up to 60-70% of feed nutrients, although the digestive glands produce sufficient amounts of pepsin, trypsin, amylase, lipase and other digestive enzymes. It is known that young animals are born with an underdeveloped digestive enzyme system [2, 12].

Poultry is one of the important and promising branches of animal husbandry, it has low labor and feed costs receiving a significant amount of valuable dietary foods for humans. Providing the population in food and

industry in raw materials can be achieved due to the proportional development of agricultural industries, including poultry. To increase the efficiency of feed use is one of the important tasks facing poultry farming [6, 11].

Therefore, the aim of the experiment was to research the effect of the AlfaGal enzyme additive on the laying ability and eggs quality of laying hens. Feed additive is aimed for use in feeding farm animals and poultry.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

The experiment was carried out using the method of analogue groups, it allows to determine the effect of the researched drug. Forming the groups, we took into account the live weight of animals, age, sex, breed, productivity, etc. [5].

The equalization period of the experiment lasted for 10 days, and the main period of the experiment lasted for 180 days. The poultry was kept in one tier group cages in compliance with zoohygienic requirements [5].

The control group consumed the basic diet (BD), i.e., complete feed. Experimental group was additionally fed by AlfaGal (0.1 kg per ton of feed).