



Founder, Editorial and Publisher
POLISSIA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

e-ISSN 2709-8877

ISSN: 2663-2144

SCIENTIFIC

H O R I Z O N S



НАУКОВІ ГОРИЗОНТИ

УДК 63

Співзасновники:

Поліський національний університет, ТОВ «УКР ЖИТ НГ»

Редакція, видавець:

Поліський національний університет

Рік заснування: 1998

Періодичність випуску: щомісячно

*Рекомендовано до друку та поширення
через мережу Інтернет Вченою радою
Поліського національного університету
(протокол № 3 від 23 жовтня 2024 року)*

Рішення національної ради України

з питань телебачення і радіомовлення № 1533.

Ідентифікатор медіа – R30-01593

Науковий журнал включено до категорії А Переліку наукових фахових видань України, у яких можуть публікуватися результати дисертаційних робіт на здобуття наукових ступенів доктора та кандидата ветеринарних, економічних, сільськогосподарських і технічних наук зі спеціальностей:

051 – Економіка; 071 – Облік і оподаткування; 072 – Фінанси, банківська справа та страхування; 073 – Менеджмент; 075 – Маркетинг; 076 – Підприємництво, торгівля та біржова діяльність; 101 – Екологія; 133 – Галузеве машинобудування; 201 – Агрономія; 202 – Захист і карантин рослин; 203 – Садівництво та виноградарство; 204 – Технологія виробництва і переробки продукції тваринництва; 205 – Лісове господарство; 206 – Садово-паркове господарство; 208 – Агроінженерія; 211 – Ветеринарна медицина (наказ МОН України № 1166 від 23 грудня 2022 р.)

Журнал представлено у міжнародних наукометричних базах даних,

репозитаріях та пошукових системах: Інституційний репозитарій

Поліського національного університету, AGRICOLA, CAB Abstracts and Global Health (CABI), Реєстр наукових видань України, Національна бібліотека України імені В. І. Вернадського, Crossref, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), ERIH PLUS, International System for Agricultural Science and Technology (AGRIS), Dimension, Polska Bibliografia Naukowa, Google Scholar, Ulrichsweb Global Serials Directory, UCSB Library, EBSCO, Scopus, University of Oslo Library, University of Hull Library, SOLO – Search Oxford Libraries Online, European University Institute, Leipzig University Library, Cambridge University Library, Zenty, OUCI (Open Ukrainian Citation Index), Worldcat, CORE

Адреса редакції:

Поліський національний університет
10008, б-р Старий, 7, м. Житомир, Україна
E-mail: info@sciencehorizon.com.ua
<https://sciencehorizon.com.ua/uk>

SCIENTIFIC HORIZONS

UDC 63

Founders:

Polissia National University, "UKR ZHYT NG" LLC

Editorial and Publisher:

Polissia National University

Year of foundation: 1998

Frequency of issue: monthly

*Recommended for printing and distribution
via the Internet by the Academic Council
of Polissia National University
(Minutes No. 3 of October 23, 2024)*

Decision of the National Council

of Television and Radio Broadcasting of Ukraine No. 1533.

Media identifier – R30-01593

The scientific journal is included in category A of the List of scientific professional publications of Ukraine, in which the results of dissertations for the degree of doctor and candidate of veterinary, economic, agricultural, and technical sciences can be published in the following specialties: 051 – Economy; 071 – Accounting and Taxation; 072 – Finance, Banking and Insurance; 073 – Management; 075 – Marketing; 076 – Entrepreneurship, Trade and Exchange Activities; 101 – Ecology; 133 – Sectoral Engineering; 201 – Agronomy; 202 – Plant Protection and Quarantine; 203 – Horticulture and Viticulture; 204 – Technology of Production and Processing of Livestock Products; 205 – Forestry; 206 – Park and Gardening Management; 208 – Agricultural Engineering; 211 – Veterinary Medicine (Order of the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine No. 1166 of December 23, 2022)

The journal is presented international scientometric databases, repositories

and scientific systems: Institutional Repository of Polissia National University, AGRICOLA, CAB Abstracts and Global Health (CABI), Register of Scientific Publications of Ukraine, Vernadsky National Library of Ukraine, Crossref, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), ERIH PLUS, International System for Agricultural Science and Technology (AGRIS), Dimension, Polska Bibliografia Naukowa, Google Scholar, Ulrichsweb Global Serials Directory, UCSB Library, EBSCO, Scopus, University of Oslo Library, University of Hull Library, SOLO – Search Oxford Libraries Online, European University Institute, Leipzig University Library, Cambridge University Library, Zenty, OUCI (Open Ukrainian Citation Index), Worldcat, CORE

Editors office address:

Polissia National University
10008, 7 Staryi Blvd., Zhytomyr, Ukraine
E-mail: info@sciencehorizon.com.ua
<https://sciencehorizon.com.ua/en>

НАУКОВІ ГОРИЗОНТИ

Том 27, № 11
2024

НАУКОВИЙ ЖУРНАЛ
Засновано 12 березня 1998 р.

Періодичність випуску: дванадцять разів на рік

Редакційна колегія

Головний редактор

Олег Васильович Скидан Доктор економічних наук, професор, ректор, Поліський національний університет, Україна

Заступник головного редактора

Тетяна Федонюк Доктор сільськогосподарських наук, професор, Поліський національний університет, Україна

Національні члени редколегії

Іван Грабар Доктор технічних наук, професор, Поліський національний університет, Україна

Наталія Сорока Доктор ветеринарних наук, професор, Національний університет біоресурсів і природокористування України, Україна

Руслана Ставецька Доктор сільськогосподарських наук, професор, Білоцерківський національний аграрний університет, Україна

Анастасія Зимароєва Кандидат біологічних наук, доцент, Поліський національний університет, Україна

Людмила Чижевська Доктор економічних наук, професор, Державний університет «Житомирська політехніка», Україна

Віталій Данкевич Доктор економічних наук, професор, Поліський національний університет, Україна

Ельчин Алієв Доктор технічних наук, професор, Дніпровський державний аграрно-економічний університет, Україна

Олександр Галатюк Доктор ветеринарних наук, професор, Поліський національний університет, Україна

Валентина Гамаюнова Доктор сільськогосподарських наук, професор, Миколаївський національний аграрний університет, Україна

Леонід Горальський Доктор ветеринарних наук, професор, Житомирський державний університет імені Івана Франка, Поліський національний університет, Україна

Дмитро Дерев'яно Доктор технічних наук, професор, Поліський національний університет, Україна

Олександр Жуков Доктор біологічних наук, професор, Мелітопольський державний педагогічний університет імені Богдана Хмельницького, Україна

Ірина Іванова Кандидат сільськогосподарських наук, доцент, Таврійський державний агротехнологічний університет ім. Д. Моторного, Україна

Олександр Кочук-Ященко Кандидат сільськогосподарських наук, доцент, Поліський національний університет, Україна

Дмитро Кучер Кандидат сільськогосподарських наук, доцент, Поліський національний університет, Україна

Федір Марков Кандидат сільськогосподарських наук, доцент, Поліський національний університет, Україна

Олена Марковська Доктор сільськогосподарських наук, старший науковий співробітник, Херсонський державний аграрно-економічний університет, Україна

Андрій Михайлов Доктор економічних наук, професор, Сумський національний аграрний університет, Україна

Валентин Москалець	Доктор сільськогосподарських наук, доцент, Інститут садівництва НААН, Україна
Віктор Пазич	Кандидат сільськогосподарських наук, доцент, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Людмила Тарасович	Кандидат економічних наук, доцент, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Тетяна Тимощук	Кандидат сільськогосподарських наук, доцент, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Наталія Цивенкова	Кандидат технічних наук, доцент Національний університет біоресурсів і природокористування України, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Марина Швець	Кандидат біологічних наук, старший викладач, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Тетяна Зінчук	Доктор економічних наук, професор, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Геннадій Голуб	Доктор технічних наук, професор, Національний університет біоресурсів і природокористування України, Україна
Міжнародні члени редколегії	
Савелій Кухарець	Доктор технічних наук, професор, Університет Вітовта Великого, Литва
Юліус Раманаускас	Доктор наук, професор, Клайпедський університет, Литва
Інна Левкович	Доктор наук, старший науковий співробітник, Лейбніцький інститут розвитку сільського господарства у країнах з перехідною економікою, Німеччина
Егідіюс Сараускіс	Доктор технічних наук, професор, Інститут сільськогосподарської техніки та безпеки університету Вітовта Великого, Литва
Ришард Пукала	Кандидат економічних наук, Державний Техніко-Економічний Університет ім. кс. Броніслава Маркевича в Ярославі, Польща
Самат Танірбергенов	Вчений секретар, Казахський науково-дослідний інститут ґрунтознавства і агрохімії ім. У.У. Успанова, Республіка Казахстан
Шпенд Шахіні	Кандидат наук у галузі захисту рослин, Сільськогосподарський університет Тирани, Албанія
Фатбард Саллаку	Кандидат наук у галузі захисту рослин, ректор Сільськогосподарського університету Тирани, Албанія

SCIENTIFIC HORIZONS

Vol. 27, No. 11 2024

SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL
Year of establishment: Since March 1998.
Publication frequency: Twelve times a year

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Oleh Skydan Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Rector, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Deputy Editor-in-Chief

Tatiana Fedoniuk Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

National Members of the Editorial Board

Ivan Grabar Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Natalia Soroka Doctor of Veterinary Sciences, Professor, National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine

Ruslana Stavetska Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Professor, Bila Tserkva National Agrarian University, Ukraine

Anastasiia Zymarioieva PhD in Biological Sciences, Associate Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Lyudmyla Chyzhevska Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Zhytomyr Polytechnic State University, Ukraine

Vitalii Dankevych Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Elchin Aliiev Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Dnipro State Agrarian and Economic University, Ukraine

Olexandr Galatiuk Doctor of Veterinary Sciences, Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Valentina Hamaiunova Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Professor, Mykolaiv National Agrarian University, Ukraine

Leonid Goralskiy Doctor of Veterinary Sciences, Professor, Zhytomyr Ivan Franko State University, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Dmytro Derevjanko Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Olexander Zhukov Doctor of Biological Sciences, Professor, Bogdan Khmelnytsky Melitopol State Pedagogical University, Ukraine

Iryna Ivanova PhD in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, Dmytro Motornyi Tavria State Agrotechnological University, Ukraine

Oleksandr Kochuk-Yashchenko PhD in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Dmytro Kucher PhD in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Fedir Markov PhD in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Olena Markovska Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Senior Research Scientist, Kherson State Agrarian and Economic University, Ukraine

Andrii Mykhailov Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Sumy National Agrarian University, Ukraine

Valentyn Moskalets Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, Institute of Horticulture of the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences, Ukraine

Viktor Pazych PhD in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Ludmyla Tarasovych PhD in Economic Sciences, Associate Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Tetiana Tymoshchuk PhD in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Natalia Tsyvenkova PhD in Technical Sciences, Associate Professor, National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Polissia National University, Ukraine
Marina Shvets PhD in Biological Sciences, Senior Teacher, Polissia National University, Ukraine
Tetyana Zinchuk Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine
Gennadii Golub Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine

International members of the Editorial Board

Savelli Kukharets Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Vytautas Magnus University, Lithuania
Julius Ramanauskas Doctor Habilitatus, Professor, Klaipeda University, Lithuania
Inna Levkovych Doctor Habilitatus, Senior Research Scientist, Leibniz Institute of Agricultural Development in Transition Economies (IAMO), Germany
Egidijus Sarauskis Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Institute of Agricultural Engineering and Safety of Vytautas Magnus university (VMU), Lithuania
Ryszard Pukala PhD in Economic Sciences, the Bronisław Markiewicz State University of Technology and Economics in Jarosław, Poland
Samat Tanirbergenov Scientific Secretary, U.U. Uspanov Kazakh Research Institute of Soil Science and Agrochemistry, Republic of Kazakhstan
Shpend Shahini PhD in Plant Protection, Agricultural University of Tirana, Albania
Fatbardh Sallaku PhD in Plant Protection, Rector of the Agricultural University of Tirana, Albania

ЗМІСТ


О. Крамаренко, О. Юлевич, І. Люта, С. Крамаренко Аналіз мінливості тривалості поросності свиноматок та її зв'язок із ознаками гнізда при народженні.....	9
Є. Крикбаєв, Н. Турсинбаєв, Н. Ахметсадигов, Д. Хусаїнов, Ж. Батанова Технології суспензійного культивування в біореакторі штаму <i>Chlamydomophila abortus</i> на культурі клітин McCoy	21
В. Коваленко, П. Сердюк, А. Шевич, О. Сердюк, В. Закорко Реакція сортів картоплі на обробку азотфіксуючими бактеріями та біопрепаратами з мікоризою	32
А. Дробітько, Т. Качанова, Н. Маркова, Н. Нікончук Інноваційні підходи до вирощування зернових культур у Південному Степу України.....	41
А. Діброва, В. Байдала, Т. Мірзоєва, Л. Степасюк, А. Чміль, Л. Діброва Прогнозування і моделювання ринку жита, як нішевої зернової культури, в умовах зростання вартості мінеральних добрив	52
О. Мазур, О. Мазур, Т. Тимошук, І. Дідур, В. Циганський Дослідження бобово-ризобіального симбіозу сої для стійкості агроєкосистем	68
К. Смірнова, С. Балюк, А. Кучер, Л. Воротинцева, А. Гончарова Еколого-токсикологічний стан мілітарно деградованих чорноземів: кейс Чкаловської територіальної громади	90
Л. Піскунова, Т. Зубок, А. Клепко, К. Карабач, А. Кудрявицька Агроландшафти та їх роль у забезпеченні екологічної безпеки	105
К. Саттаров, А. Жанкоразов, Ж. Хазраткулов Застосування харчових добавок у хлібобулочних виробках.....	118
М. Алієв, М. Гулієв, У. Абдуллаєв, Л. Гусейнова, Г. Азізова Стратегії підвищення конкурентоспроможності аграрної продукції та торговельна політика Китаю на світовому ринку.....	129
Н. Мусаєва, Н. Атакішиєва, У. Мамедова, Г. Танрівердієва, О. Лемішко Вплив торговельної політики на експорт аграрної продукції Азербайджану.....	141
Ж. Єрніязова, Г. Асрепов, Д. Айгужинова, Г. Нурбаєва, С. Жанузакова Економічні аспекти впровадження екологічних чистих джерел енергії в агропромисловому комплексі (на прикладі країн Європи)	153

CONTENTS

A. Kramarenko, O. Yulevich, I. Liuta, S. Kramarenko Analysis of variability in gestation length in sows and its association with litter traits at birth.....	9
Ye. Krykbayev, N. Tyrsynbayev, N. Akhmetsadykov, D. Khussainov, Zh. Batanova Technologies of suspension cultivation in bioreactor of the <i>Chlamydomophila abortus</i> strain on McCoy cell culture.....	21
V. Kovalenko, P. Serdiuk, A. Shevych, O. Serdiuk, V. Zakorko Reaction of potato varieties to treatment with nitrogen-fixing bacteria and mycorrhizal biopreparations.....	32
A. Drobitko, T. Kachanova, N. Markova, N. Nikonchuk Innovative approaches to growing grain crops in the Southern Steppe of Ukraine.....	41
A. Dibrova, V. Baidala, T. Mirzoieva, L. Stepsyuk, A. Chmil, L. Dibrova Forecasting and modelling the rye market, as a niche grain crop, under conditions of increasing mineral fertiliser costs.....	52
O. Mazur, O. Mazur, T. Tymoshchuk, I. Didur, V. Tsyhanskyi Study of legume-rhizobia symbiosis in soybean for agroecosystem resilience.....	68
K. Smirnova, S. Baliuk, A. Kucher, L. Vorotyntseva, A. Honcharova Ecological and toxicological condition of militarily degraded chernozems: A case study of the Chkalovsk territorial community.....	90
L. Piskunova, T. Zubok, A. Klepko, K. Karabach, A. Kudryavytska Agrolandscapes and their role in ensuring environmental safety.....	105
K. Sattarov, A. Jankurazov, J. Khazratkulov Use of food additives in bakery products.....	118
M. Aliyev, M. Guliyev, U. Abdullaev, L. Huseynova, G. Azizova Strategies for improving the competitiveness of agricultural products and China's trade policy in the world market.....	129
N. Musayeva, N. Atakishiyeva, U. Mammadova, G. Tanriverdiyeva, O. Lemishko The impact of trade policy on the export of agricultural products of Azerbaijan.....	141
Zh. Yerniyazova, G. Asreprov, D. Aiguzhinova, G. Nurbayeva, S. Zhanuzakova Economic aspects of implementing environmentally friendly energy sources in the agro-industrial complex (focusing on European countries).....	153

SCIENTIFIC HORIZONS

Journal homepage: <https://sciencehorizon.com.ua>
Scientific Horizons, 27(11), 68-89



UDC 631.8:633.34:631.46

DOI: 10.48077/scihor11.2024.68

Study of legume-rhizobia symbiosis in soybean for agroecosystem resilience

Oleksandr Mazur

PhD in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor
Vinnytsia National Agrarian University
21008, 3 Sonyachna Str., Vinnytsia, Ukraine
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2237-5116>

Olena Mazur

PhD in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor
Vinnytsia National Agrarian University
21008, 3 Sonyachna Str., Vinnytsia, Ukraine
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0132-7470>

Tetiana Tymoshchuk*

PhD in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor
Polissia National University
10008, 7 Staryi Blvd., Zhytomyr, Ukraine
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8980-7334>

Ihor Didur

Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Professor
Vinnytsia National Agrarian University
21008, 3 Sonyachna Str., Vinnytsia, Ukraine
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6612-6592>

Viacheslav Tsyhanskyi

PhD in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor
Vinnytsia National Agrarian University
21008, 3 Sonyachna Str., Vinnytsia, Ukraine
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5157-9807>

Article's History:

Received: 10.05.2024

Revised: 25.09.2024

Accepted: 23.10.2024

Abstract. Research into innovative methods of regulating legume-rhizobia symbiosis is crucial for enhancing nitrogen fixation, thereby promoting soil health and increasing crop yields. This contributes to sustainable agriculture by reducing the need for chemical fertilisers and enhancing the resilience of agroecosystems. The study aimed to determine the impact of seed inoculation, environmental conditions, and varietal characteristics of soybean varieties on biological nitrogen accumulation. The research

Suggested Citation:

Mazur, O., Mazur, O., Tymoshchuk, T., Didur, I., & Tsyhanskyi, V. (2024). Study of legume-rhizobia symbiosis in soybean for agroecosystem resilience. *Scientific Horizons*, 27(11), 68-89. doi: 10.48077/scihor11.2024.68.



Copyright © The Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

*Corresponding author

was conducted under the environmental conditions of Kyiv, Poltava, and Vinnytsia regions from 2010 to 2021. Cultivation practices for the soybean varieties adhered to standard regional agronomic guidelines. The findings detail the effects of seed inoculation on the dynamics of formation and productivity of soybean-rhizobial symbiosis in soils with existing native rhizobial populations. The nitrogen-fixing potential of soybean varieties under inoculation was identified, showing that inoculation enhances the efficiency of the soybean symbiotic system. It has been demonstrated that, across varied environmental conditions, seed inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* enhances the formation and functioning of the soybean symbiotic apparatus, even in soils with existing native populations of nodule bacteria. The efficacy of seed inoculation is influenced by the hydrothermal conditions of the year, environmental factors, and soybean variety. Seed inoculation contributes to the development of a greater mass of active nodules on plant roots, positively impacting nitrogen fixation. The highest nitrogen fixation levels were observed in the soybean varieties Ametyst, Artemida, and Hoverla across different environmental conditions. Seed inoculation increased the amount of biologically fixed nitrogen in these varieties by 33.9-36.1 kg/ha. Varieties Ametyst, Artemida, and Hoverla showed a particularly strong response to inoculation with nitrogen-fixing bacteria and are recommended for cultivation in diverse environmental conditions. Innovative approaches to enhancing the efficiency of the soybean legume-rhizobia symbiosis through the use of biological inoculants based on nitrogen-fixing bacteria will enable the development of biologically-oriented cultivation technologies, promoting the resilience of agroecosystems

Keywords: nodule number and mass; nitrogen fixation; symbiotic potential; inoculation; hydrothermal coefficient; rhizobia; biologically fixed nitrogen

INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen is an essential plant nutrient, vital for biomass accumulation, phytohormonal regulation, and enzyme function. Nitrogen fixation, the process of converting atmospheric nitrogen into a plant-available form, plays a crucial role in maintaining soil fertility. The efficiency of nitrogen fixation is influenced by various factors, including the number and development of root nodules, the species of nitrogen-fixing microorganisms, the host plant, and environmental conditions. Soybean (*Glycine hispida* Maxim.) is a strategic crop for implementing the Green Deal in agriculture due to its unique ability to combine two essential processes: photosynthesis and biological nitrogen fixation. Therefore, investigating the efficiency of the legume-rhizobia symbiosis in soybeans, as influenced by varietal characteristics and environmental growing conditions, is highly relevant.

E. Kebede (2021) and S. Langyan *et al.* (2022) noted that the challenges of plant protein supply and soil fertility preservation can be addressed by cultivating optimal areas of legume crops. However, this is contingent upon ensuring effective symbiosis between legumes and rhizobia. Through the fixation of atmospheric nitrogen by symbiotic systems of legumes and rhizobia, as well as associative and free-living nitrogen-fixing microbes, approximately the same amount of biological nitrogen is annually contributed to the arable layer of the soil as is introduced through mineral nitrogen fertilisers.

Host plants are colonised by various rhizobia species differing in their virulence. Indigenous rhizobial populations in the arable layer are more mobile and virulent. However, nitrogen fixation in such legume-rhizobia symbioses is often inefficient, which is linked to the sensitivity of rhizobia to moisture conditions. According to A. Soumare *et al.* (2020), understanding the diversity

of nitrogen-fixing microorganisms, their fixation mechanisms, and developing innovative biofertilizers based on this knowledge is an alternative approach to address this issue and optimise nitrogen fixation. Measures such as seed inoculation with biofertilizers before sowing, selecting drought-tolerant cultivars, and adjusting sowing dates can help maximise the potential of the legume-rhizobia symbiosis in soybeans. Optimising symbiotic nitrogen fixation is becoming increasingly important as it is an environmentally friendly and cost-effective method for maintaining soil fertility and increasing crop productivity (Abd-Alla *et al.*, 2023).

Soybeans consume more nutrients to produce a crop compared to other legumes. This legume crop absorbs mineral nutrients unevenly throughout its growth and development stages and has the ability to assimilate nitrogen from the air through symbiosis with rhizobia. Additionally, soybeans can utilise phosphorus and potassium from soil-bound, insoluble compounds and reallocate these reserves from stems to seeds. According to studies by S. Kots (2021) and O. Mazur *et al.* (2024), the absence of beneficial, specific microflora in the root zone of crops encourages colonisation by other, atypical microorganisms, including phytopathogens. Given this, the ecological and economic viability of inoculating soybeans and other crops is undeniable (Panchyshyn *et al.*, 2023).

Inoculation is particularly effective in soils not already populated by active soybean strains of *Rhizobium japonicum*. On fields where soybean has been cultivated long-term, an indigenous population of nodule bacteria develops that can spontaneously infect the roots of young plants; however, these bacteria are often low in activity and efficiency and may inhibit the effectiveness

of productive strains. As a result, the use of bacterial inoculants may be ineffective in such cases. Bioinoculants are formulated with specific nodule bacteria for each type of legume crop. When an unsuitable strain is applied, it has no effect, as it cannot form nodules on a non-responsive legume plant. Research by M. Mendoza-Suárez *et al.* (2024) has shown that only competitive, specific strains of nodule bacteria should be used for soybean seed inoculation.

Given the interest in and promotion of technologies aimed at increasing nitrogen availability and legume crop productivity, seed inoculation with nitrogen-fixing bacteria is an effective tool for reducing the environmental impact of mineral fertilisers and enhancing plant nutrition (Sousa *et al.*, 2022). Using effective rhizobial strains with compatible legume varieties can decrease not only the need for nitrogen fertilisers but also the greenhouse gas emissions associated with their production and use. A better understanding of symbiotic relationships will contribute to the development of sustainable agriculture and the preservation of biodiversity.

The symbiotic relationship between legumes and rhizobia is one of the most studied models of nitrogen fixation, demonstrating a complex interaction between plants and microorganisms. Most research, including work by S. McCormick (2018) and J. Hawkins and I. Oresnik (2021), has focused on the mechanisms of nitrogen fixation, which provide benefits to both partners in the symbiosis through nitrogen and carbon exchange. The authors noted that the symbiosis between legumes and rhizobial bacteria is based on a complex sequence of morphophysiological changes in partner cells. Rhizobia interacting with plants experience

various stress factors such as decreased pH, osmotic pressure, oxidative stress, and plant antimicrobial peptides. For the symbiotic process to proceed effectively, protection against oxidative stress is required at all stages of legume-rhizobia symbiosis formation (Mamenko, 2021).

However, limited attention has been paid to research on the impact of environmental conditions on the functioning of legume-rhizobia symbiosis and how stress tolerance affects the interaction between legumes and bacteria. Such research, combined with the establishment of parameters for symbiotic apparatus formation in soybeans, will help in the search for effective symbiotic systems that ensure maximum realisation of symbiotic potential and high tolerance to adverse growing conditions. This suggests that research to improve the productivity of nitrogen-fixing symbiotic systems, their adaptability to environmental conditions (including stress), and understanding the mechanisms of interaction between soybean plants and microorganisms under changing climate conditions is relevant and promising. This study aimed to determine the influence of seed inoculation, hydrothermal and edaphic conditions, and varietal characteristics of soybean varieties on the formation of biologically fixed nitrogen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was conducted under various soil and climatic conditions in Ukraine, specifically in Kyiv, Poltava, and Vinnytsia regions. In the Vinnytsia Region, the soils were represented by grey forest soils, in the Poltava Region by chernozems podzolized, and in the Kyiv Region by chernozems typical during the period 2010-2021, which differed in their hydrothermal conditions (Fig. 1).




Figure 1. Hydrothermal coefficients during the growing season (BBCH 10-99, May-September, 2010-2021)

Source: developed by the authors

The research material consisted of soybean cultivars bred by the Krasnohrad Research Station of the Institute of Grain Management of the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine, specifically Ametyst (early-maturing); the Prykarpattia State Agricultural Research Station of the Institute of Agriculture of the Carpathian Region of the National Academy of Agricultural Sciences of Ukraine and the Institute of Feed and Agriculture of Podillia of the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine, Hoverla (early-maturing); the Krasnohrad Research Station of the Institute of Grain

Management of the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine and the Institute of Feed and Agriculture of Podillia of the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine, Artemida (mid-early); the Institute of Agriculture of the Ukrainian Academy of Agrarian Sciences and the Institute of Feed and Agriculture of Podillia of the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine, Femida (mid-season), and Zolotytsya (mid-early), Oriana (mid-early); and the Institute of Feed and Agriculture of Podillia of the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine, Vezha (mid-early).

Seed inoculation was performed one day before sowing using the biopreparation Optimize 200 (2.8 L/t) based on strains of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. The titre of the preparation was 2×10^9 CFU bacteria/g.

The study was conducted under conditions of a dense soybean rhizobia population, which was established in previous years by cultivating soybeans inoculated with various strains of rhizobia and bacterial preparations. To determine the presence of nodules on plant roots at different growth and development stages, soil monoliths with a diameter of 50 cm and a depth of 0-20 cm were sampled. Soybean roots were washed under water on sieves with 1 mm mesh openings. The remaining clay particles were removed with a soft brush under running water over the sieve. After the entire root was completely cleared of soil, the nodules were dried with filter paper, separated from the root, weighed, and the number of nodules with and without leghaemoglobin was determined. The active symbiotic potential (ASP) was calculated using formula 1:

$$ASP = \frac{M_1 \pm M_2}{2 \times T}, \quad (1)$$

where ASP is the active symbiotic potential, thousand kg days/ha; M_1, M_2 is the average mass of nodules with leghaemoglobin over a period of time, kg/ha; T is the period between two successive determinations, days.

The amount of biologically fixed nitrogen was determined as the product of the active symbiotic potential and the specific activity of the symbiosis. The assessment of the symbiotic apparatus was carried out according to well-known methods. Mathematical processing of the research results was performed using dispersion and correlation-regression analysis on a personal computer using specialised application packages such as MS Excel. Experimental studies of plants (both cultivated and wild), including the collection of plant material, complied with institutional, national, or international guidelines. The authors adhered to the standards of the Convention on Biological Diversity (1992) and the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (1979).

RESULTS

Nodules became visible on soybean roots 7-10 days after emergence, that is, during the formation of the first trifoliolate leaf. Approximately 15-25 days after their formation, the nitrogen fixation process began and continued until plant senescence. In the early stages of soybean development, nitrogen fixation was weak, but its activity increased sharply later, reaching its maximum during flowering and pod filling. After that, it decreased as maturity approached. The lowest number of active nodules was observed at the BBCH microstage 51-59 (Table 1).

Table 1. Number of active nodules (number/plant) on soybean roots depending on the variety, seed inoculation, and environmental conditions at the BBCH microstage 51-59

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Kyiv Region													
Ametyst	w/i	7.7	10.1	7.0	9.7	10.4	3.4	6.3	5.6	8.1	7.9	7.6	9.4
	i	12.7	15.3	12.6	15.1	16.0	7.8	11.7	10.2	13.8	12.6	12.7	14.6
Hoverla	w/i	9.0	10.8	8.0	9.9	11.2	4.0	7.7	6.1	9.0	8.2	7.9	9.7
	i	15.1	16.1	13.1	15.6	17.5	8.8	12.8	10.9	14.2	13.3	13.1	15.0
Artemida	w/i	8.3	10.6	8.2	10.5	10.5	3.7	6.6	5.5	8.6	8.1	7.9	9.8
	i	13.2	15.9	12.9	15.4	16.9	8.1	12.3	10.5	14.0	12.9	12.9	14.8
Femida	w/i	8.2	10.5	7.1	9.9	10.9	3.4	6.4	5.4	7.8	7.7	7.6	9.3
	i	12.2	14.8	12.1	14.6	15.8	7.2	10.8	9.5	12.9	12.2	12.1	14.2
Zolotysta	w/i	9.9	10.5	7.0	9.7	10.4	3.1	6.1	5.3	7.8	7.8	7.3	9.2
	i	12.1	14.4	11.7	14.4	15.3	6.9	10.5	9.4	12.6	12.1	11.8	13.9
Vezha	w/i	7.7	10.6	7.3	10.1	10.8	3.5	6.4	5.6	8.0	7.8	7.4	9.4
	i	12.4	15.1	12.3	14.8	15.8	7.5	11.4	10.1	13.2	12.4	12.4	14.6
Oriana-standart	w/i	7.2	10.4	6.4	9.1	10.4	2.9	6.0	5.0	7.3	7.0	6.9	9.3
	i	11.6	14.2	11.2	14.2	15.6	6.7	10.3	9.1	12.3	11.8	11.5	13.7
Poltava Region													
Ametyst	w/i	7.5	11.1	7.5	8.3	8.9	3.8	10.8	6.4	10.6	6.3	6.2	11.6
	i	12.6	16.5	12.6	13.7	14.1	8.3	16.5	12.4	16.4	11.8	11.3	17.8
Hoverla	w/i	7.9	11.6	8.0	8.6	9.2	4.4	11.4	8.1	11.5	7.0	6.6	12.6
	i	13.2	17.1	13.1	14.1	15.0	8.7	17.2	13.1	17.0	12.2	11.7	18.5
Artemida	w/i	8.3	11.1	8.2	9.1	9.1	3.9	11.0	7.5	11.1	6.4	6.2	11.9
	i	12.9	17.0	12.9	14.0	14.6	8.6	16.4	12.6	16.7	12.1	11.5	18.1
Femida	w/i	7.5	10.9	7.5	8.6	9.4	3.6	10.9	7.4	10.5	6.2	5.9	11.4
	i	12.0	16.1	12.4	13.4	14.1	7.8	15.7	11.9	15.7	11.5	10.8	17.2
Zolotysta	w/i	7.3	10.9	7.3	8.2	9.0	3.5	10.6	4.3	10.4	6.0	5.8	11.2
	i	11.8	15.8	12.1	13.1	13.6	7.5	15.5	10.7	15.6	11.1	10.5	16.7

Table 1. Continued

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Vezha	w/i	7.9	11.0	8.1	8.6	9.3	3.7	10.8	7.6	10.5	6.3	6.1	11.4
	i	12.5	16.2	12.5	13.5	14.1	8.0	15.9	12.1	16.1	11.6	11.1	17.4
Oriana-standart	w/i	7.3	10.6	7.0	8.1	9.0	3.2	10.5	7.1	10.0	5.8	5.5	11.3
	i	11.7	15.5	11.4	12.9	13.5	7.2	15.3	10.6	15.2	10.5	10.2	16.4
Vinnytsia Region													
Ametyst	w/i	7.7	8.5	6.5	8.4	9.1	3.6	8.4	7.3	10.9	7.7	7.2	9.3
	i	13.2	13.4	11.5	13.6	14.2	7.8	13.7	13.5	16.9	13.2	12.1	16.2
Hoverla	w/i	8.1	8.9	7.0	8.8	9.5	4.1	8.9	7.9	12.1	8.1	7.5	9.6
	i	13.9	14.1	12.0	14.0	15.1	8.4	14.1	13.8	17.8	13.7	12.6	16.9
Artemida	w/i	8.2	8.6	7.2	9.0	9.3	3.7	8.6	7.2	11.4	8.0	7.5	10.0
	i	13.5	13.7	11.7	13.8	14.5	8.0	14.0	13.8	17.3	13.4	12.3	16.7
Femida	w/i	7.7	8.4	6.4	8.7	9.6	3.4	8.4	7.1	10.6	7.5	6.8	9.2
	i	12.2	13.1	11.0	13.3	13.8	7.3	13.1	12.9	15.9	12.7	11.6	15.3
Zolotysta	w/i	7.5	8.3	6.2	8.5	9.3	3.3	8.2	7.0	10.6	7.6	6.8	9.0
	i	12.1	12.9	10.7	13.1	13.5	7.1	12.8	12.3	15.6	12.4	11.2	14.8
Vezha	w/i	8.0	8.6	7.1	8.7	9.5	3.4	8.4	7.2	10.8	7.6	7.0	9.3
	i	12.7	13.2	11.2	13.4	14.0	7.5	13.3	13.2	16.1	12.8	11.8	15.6
Oriana-standart	w/i	7.5	8.3	5.9	8.2	9.2	3.0	8.0	6.8	10.1	6.9	6.5	9.1
	i	11.9	12.8	10.4	12.8	13.3	6.9	12.5	12.0	15.2	12.1	10.9	14.6

Source: developed by the authors

The number of active nodules depended on seed inoculation, ecogradient (hydrothermal and edaphic conditions), and soybean variety characteristics. The highest number of active nodules was observed in Poltava Region in 2021 following seed inoculation in the varieties Hoverla (18.5 nodules), Artemida (18.1 nodules), and Ametyst (17.8 nodules). This was 5.9, 6.2, and 6.2 nodules higher, respectively, compared to untreated seeds. In Vinnytsia Region, the highest number of nodules was observed in 2018 following seed inoculation in the varieties Hoverla (17.8 nodules), Artemida (17.3 nodules), and Ametyst (16.9 nodules). This was 5.7, 5.9, and 6.0 nodules higher, respectively, compared to untreated seeds. In Kyiv Region, the highest number of nodules was observed in 2014 in the varieties Hoverla (17.5 nodules), Artemida (16.9 nodules), and Ametyst (16.0 nodules), which was 6.3, 6.4, and 6.4 nodules higher, respectively, compared to non-inoculated seeds. The lowest number of active nodules was observed in all soybean varieties in different growing zones (Kyiv, Vinnytsia, Poltava) un-

der the most drought-prone year (2015). The number of active nodules in untreated soybean seeds varied across these regions from 2.9-3.2 per plant (variety Oriana) to 4.0-4.4 per plant (variety Hoverla). In inoculated soybean seeds, the number of active nodules ranged from 6.7-7.2 per plant (variety Oriana) to 8.4-8.8 per plant (variety Hoverla). It should be noted that across different growing years, the highest number of active nodules was observed, primarily with seed inoculation in 2021 in the Poltava Region, ranging from 16.4 per plant (variety Oriana) to 18.5 per plant (variety Hoverla). The highest number of active nodules with seed inoculation was observed in Vinnytsia Region in 2018, ranging from 15.2 per plant (variety Oriana) to 17.8 per plant (variety Hoverla). In Kyiv Region, the highest number of active nodules was observed in 2014, ranging from 15.3 per plant (variety Zolotysta) to 17.5 per plant (variety Hoverla). At the BBCH microstage 61-62, a higher number of active nodules on plant roots was established compared to the BBCH microstage 51-59 (Table 2).

Table 2. Number of active nodules (number/plant) on soybean roots depending on the variety, seed inoculation, and environmental conditions at the BBCH microstage 61-62

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Kyiv Region													
Ametyst	w/i	17.0	22.0	14.5	21.0	22.8	5.0	12.5	10.6	16.8	16.4	15.7	20.2
	i	35.2	37.2	31.8	37.4	38.3	20.8	29.9	27.3	32.1	31.9	30.3	34.7
Hoverla	w/i	19.3	22.6	16.0	21.6	23.8	6.2	15.2	11.9	18.9	17.0	16.3	20.9
	i	37.5	38.9	33.8	39.0	39.9	22.4	31.7	29.1	34.8	33.1	32.3	36.8
Artemida	w/i	18.2	22.1	16.2	21.8	23.0	5.6	12.8	10.3	17.9	16.9	16.5	21.1
	i	36.0	37.8	33.1	38.2	39.1	21.6	30.7	28.4	33.3	32.3	31.0	36.1

Table 2. Continued

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Femida	w/i	16.3	21.9	14.5	21.5	23.3	5.0	12.4	10.3	16.5	15.9	14.8	20.1
	i	33.2	36.2	30.2	36.2	36.5	19.3	28.4	25.9	31.0	30.4	29.1	32.5
Zolotysta	w/i	16.6	21.9	14.2	20.9	22.8	4.0	11.9	9.8	16.3	16.0	14.9	19.9
	i	31.9	35.2	29.8	35.4	35.4	18.8	28.1	25.3	30.0	29.8	28.4	31.7
Vezha	w/i	16.7	22.1	14.9	21.5	23.1	5.0	12.5	10.4	16.6	16.3	15.1	20.2
	i	34.4	36.7	31.1	36.5	37.4	20.0	29.2	26.6	32.0	31.1	29.6	33.5
Oriana-standart	w/i	15.4	21.5	13.4	20.2	22.7	3.6	11.5	9.2	15.1	14.6	14.1	19.8
	i	31.6	34.4	29.2	34.5	34.4	18.3	27.4	24.6	29.1	28.9	27.8	30.7
Poltava Region													
Ametyst	w/i	16.4	25.5	16.2	18.2	18.8	6.7	24.9	13.3	24.1	13.1	12.9	26.4
	i	33.6	41.1	32.2	35.6	37.1	23.2	40.3	32.9	41.4	29.3	30.0	43.3
Hoverla	w/i	17.0	26.5	17.3	18.8	19.6	8.2	25.9	17.8	26.5	14.2	13.7	29.1
	i	35.0	44.0	35.5	37.8	38.8	25.2	42.6	35.2	43.5	31.4	31.4	46.6
Artemida	w/i	17.5	25.5	17.9	19.9	19.2	6.9	25.0	16.1	25.3	12.9	13.1	27.5
	i	34.4	42.5	33.6	36.9	38.2	24.4	41.1	33.6	42.5	30.1	30.4	44.8
Femida	w/i	16.7	25.3	16.2	18.6	19.7	6.2	24.8	15.8	23.7	13.0	12.1	26.5
	i	32.5	39.4	30.9	34.1	34.4	22.3	40.9	31.2	39.2	27.7	28.0	40.9
Zolotysta	w/i	15.7	25.4	15.7	18.0	19.1	5.9	24.3	15.6	23.7	12.6	11.9	25.9
	i	31.4	38.7	29.6	34.2	33.4	21.6	39.9	30.4	38.7	28.9	27.1	40.1
Vezha	w/i	16.8	25.3	17.7	18.8	19.7	6.6	24.7	16.2	24.0	13.0	12.6	26.4
	i	32.9	40.3	31.4	35.0	35.6	22.8	39.6	31.7	40.5	28.4	28.8	41.9
Oriana-standart	w/i	16.1	24.9	14.9	17.8	19.2	5.4	24.0	15.2	22.7	11.8	11.1	26.2
	i	31.0	37.8	29.4	33.2	32.9	21.0	39.2	29.9	38.2	27.1	26.4	39.5
Vinnytsia Region													
Ametyst	w/i	16.6	18.7	13.5	18.6	20.3	6.3	18.4	15.6	24.6	16.8	15.2	20.7
	i	33.7	33.6	31.0	35.6	37.0	23.0	34.6	31.2	41.9	33.0	32.0	36.6
Hoverla	w/i	17.5	19.5	14.8	19.4	21.1	7.4	19.6	17.1	26.9	17.4	15.8	21.3
	i	34.5	36.3	32.3	36.7	37.9	24.5	36.2	34.2	43.4	34.9	33.1	39.2
Artemida	w/i	18.0	19.1	15.2	19.9	21.0	6.5	18.9	15.4	26.1	17.3	16.2	21.7
	i	34.0	34.4	31.4	35.9	37.5	23.5	35.4	32.6	42.7	34.2	31.7	37.3
Femida	w/i	16.6	18.6	13.5	19.2	21.3	5.5	18.4	15.1	24.1	16.1	14.1	20.7
	i	32.5	32.8	29.6	34.7	35.9	21.9	33.5	29.7	40.4	31.1	30.4	35.1
Zolotysta	w/i	16.2	18.6	13.2	18.6	20.7	5.1	17.7	14.9	24.1	16.2	14.5	20.3
	i	31.9	32.1	29.2	34.1	35.2	21.4	32.7	29.1	39.6	32.5	29.8	34.2
Vezha	w/i	17.3	18.8	14.7	19.2	21.1	5.6	18.6	15.6	24.6	16.4	15.0	20.7
	i	33.3	33.1	30.4	35.0	36.6	22.2	33.9	30.8	41.1	31.8	31.6	36.1
Oriana-standart	w/i	16.2	18.4	12.0	17.9	20.6	4.6	17.6	14.2	23.3	14.7	13.6	20.3
	i	31.4	31.5	28.4	33.7	34.2	21.0	31.7	27.7	38.8	30.1	28.7	33.6

Source: developed by the authors

In the Poltava Region, the highest number of active nodules following seed inoculation was observed in the Hoverla variety in 2021 (46.6 nodules), 2011 (44.0 nodules), 2018 (43.5 nodules), and 2016 (42.6 nodules). This was 16.7-17.5 nodules higher compared to non-inoculated seeds. A lower number of active nodules was observed in 2014 (38.8 nodules), 2013 (37.8 nodules), 2012 (35.5 nodules), 2017 (35.2 nodules), and 2010 (35.0 nodules). This was 17.4-19.2 nodules higher compared to non-inoculated seeds. The lowest number of active nodules was observed in 2019 and 2020 (31.4 nodules) and in 2015 (25.2 nodules), which was 17.0-17.7 nodules higher compared to inoculated seeds. The most favourable hydrothermal conditions for all soybean varieties in the Poltava Region were observed in 2021. The number of active nodules following seed

inoculation in the soybean varieties Hoverla, Artemida, Ametyst, Vezha, Femida, Zolotysta, and Oriana was 13.3-17.5 nodules higher compared to non-inoculated seeds. In the Kyiv Region, the lowest number of active nodules following seed inoculation was observed in 2015 for the varieties Hoverla (22.4 nodules), Artemida (21.6 nodules), Ametyst (20.8 nodules), Vezha (20.0 nodules), Femida (19.3 nodules), Zolotysta (18.8 nodules), and Oriana (18.3 nodules). This is 14.3-16.2 nodules higher compared to non-inoculated seeds of these varieties. The obtained data correlate with the values of hydrothermal coefficients in the Poltava Region during the most favourable (2021) and least favourable (2015) years. A high number of active nodules was also observed in the Vinnytsia Region. With seed inoculation in 2018, the number of active nodules in soybean

varieties Hoverla (43.4 nodules), Artemida (42.7 nodules), Ametyst (41.9 nodules), Vezha (41.1 nodules), Femida (40.4 nodules), Zolotysta (39.6 nodules), and Oriana (38.8 nodules) was 15.5-17.3 nodules higher compared to non-inoculated seeds. In the Kyiv Region, the highest number of active nodules was observed in 2014 in soybean varieties Hoverla (39.9 nodules),

Artemida (39.1 nodules), Ametyst (38.3 nodules), Vezha (37.4 nodules), Femida (36.5 nodules), Zolotysta (35.4 nodules), and Oriana (34.4 nodules), which was 11.7-16.1 nodules higher compared to non-inoculated seeds. At the BBCH microstage 68-69, a higher number of active nodules on plant roots was established compared to the BBCH microstage 61-62 (Table 3).

Table 3. Number of active nodules (number/plant) on soybean roots depending on the variety, seed inoculation, and environmental conditions at the BBCH microstage 68-69

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Kyiv Region													
Ametyst	w/i	20.3	25.3	17.6	24.2	25.9	8.3	15.9	13.9	20.0	19.7	18.9	23.4
	i	36.3	40.9	34.8	37.9	41.0	24.3	34.5	30.6	38.8	36.3	35.7	40.1
Hoverla	w/i	22.5	26.0	19.2	24.9	26.8	9.6	18.5	15.3	22.2	20.4	19.6	24.2
	i	38.8	43.7	36.4	41.2	43.9	26.2	36.1	32.7	41.2	38.3	37.9	42.5
Artemida	w/i	21.6	25.5	19.6	25.3	26.2	8.8	16.2	13.8	21.3	20.3	19.8	24.5
	i	37.8	41.8	35.7	38.9	41.9	25.2	35.5	31.9	39.5	37.8	36.8	41.3
Femida	w/i	19.8	25.2	17.8	24.8	26.6	8.1	15.9	13.6	19.6	19.2	18.0	23.4
	i	35.4	39.5	33.1	36.5	39.8	22.8	32.2	29.3	36.5	34.6	34.1	38.5
Zolotysta	w/i	19.8	25.1	17.4	24.3	26.0	7.3	15.3	13.2	19.5	19.4	18.2	23.1
	i	35.0	38.5	32.0	35.5	38.9	22.1	31.7	28.5	35.7	33.7	33.6	37.7
Vezha	w/i	20.0	25.4	18.3	24.8	26.5	8.2	15.9	13.9	19.9	19.5	18.5	23.5
	i	35.9	40.2	34.2	36.9	40.9	23.6	33.7	29.8	37.8	35.5	34.7	39.4
Oriana-standart	w/i	18.8	24.9	16.7	23.7	26.1	6.9	15.0	12.8	18.5	17.9	17.4	23.2
	i	34.4	39.4	31.2	34.4	39.5	21.7	31.0	27.9	34.4	32.3	32.8	36.9
Poltava Region													
Ametyst	w/i	19.5	28.7	19.6	21.5	22.2	9.9	28.2	16.6	27.5	16.3	16.1	29.8
	i	36.8	45.1	36.9	39.4	39.3	26.1	45.0	35.7	45.5	33.7	32.7	48.2
Hoverla	w/i	20.3	29.6	20.8	22.2	22.9	11.3	29.3	21.0	29.7	17.5	17.0	32.3
	i	38.5	47.1	38.9	41.4	41.1	27.6	46.7	38.4	47.4	35.7	35.1	50.3
Artemida	w/i	20.7	28.7	21.2	23.2	22.7	10.2	28.5	19.5	28.8	16.3	16.2	30.8
	i	37.6	45.8	37.8	40.7	40.3	26.6	45.4	37.2	46.6	34.7	34.1	49.2
Femida	w/i	19.6	28.4	19.4	22.1	23.1	9.4	28.2	19.3	27.1	16.2	15.4	29.7
	i	35.1	43.7	35.1	37.6	38.5	24.7	43.4	35.0	43.9	32.3	31.1	46.7
Zolotysta	w/i	19.0	28.3	19.0	21.4	22.6	9.1	27.6	18.9	27.0	15.7	15.1	29.4
	i	34.3	43.1	34.3	36.4	37.5	23.7	42.4	34.1	42.8	31.4	31.1	44.6
Vezha	w/i	20.2	28.6	20.9	22.1	23.0	9.7	28.2	19.6	27.4	16.3	15.9	29.8
	i	35.9	44.3	36.6	38.3	38.7	25.3	44.2	35.2	44.9	32.9	31.8	47.4
Oriana-standart	w/i	19.0	28.1	18.3	21.0	22.6	8.4	27.3	18.5	26.0	15.0	14.3	29.5
	i	33.6	41.9	33.3	35.4	37.0	22.8	41.7	33.1	41.8	30.4	30.2	44.1
Vinnitsia Region													
Ametyst	w/i	20.0	22.0	16.8	21.9	23.7	9.4	21.8	18.8	28.0	20.0	18.5	23.9
	i	36.6	38.5	33.5	38.4	40.2	25.5	38.6	35.7	46.0	36.0	34.6	40.3
Hoverla	w/i	20.8	22.7	18.0	22.6	24.4	10.7	22.9	20.2	30.2	20.7	19.2	24.7
	i	38.1	40.3	35.6	39.9	41.9	26.7	40.3	37.9	47.8	37.9	35.6	42.4
Artemida	w/i	21.2	22.2	18.4	23.0	24.2	9.7	22.1	18.7	29.3	20.6	19.4	25.0
	i	37.2	39.5	34.7	39.3	41.3	26.3	39.3	36.5	46.9	36.8	34.8	41.3
Femida	w/i	20.1	21.9	16.6	22.5	24.6	8.8	21.8	18.5	27.6	19.5	17.6	23.9
	i	35.0	37.3	32.1	35.8	38.8	24.1	37.2	33.7	44.1	34.0	33.1	38.4
Zolotysta	w/i	19.5	21.8	16.2	22.0	24.1	8.5	21.2	18.1	27.5	19.7	17.8	23.6
	i	33.8	36.6	31.2	34.7	37.8	23.3	36.5	32.5	43.4	33.4	32.3	37.4
Vezha	w/i	20.7	22.1	18.1	22.5	24.5	8.9	21.8	18.8	27.9	19.8	18.1	24.0
	i	35.8	37.9	32.7	36.9	39.6	24.7	37.8	34.5	44.7	35.0	33.9	39.3
Oriana-standart	w/i	19.5	21.6	15.5	21.4	24.1	7.8	20.9	17.7	26.5	18.2	17.0	23.7
	i	34.3	35.5	30.7	33.7	37.0	22.5	35.3	31.5	42.4	32.5	31.9	36.4

Source: developed by the authors

The highest number of active nodules was observed in the Poltava Region in 2021 with seed inoculation of varieties Hoverla (50.3 nodules), Artemida (49.2 nodules), Ametyst (48.2 nodules), Vezha (47.4 nodules), Femida (46.7 nodules), Zolotysta (44.6 nodules), and Oriana (44.1 nodules). This is 14.6-18.4 nodules higher compared to non-inoculated seeds. In the Poltava Region, on the roots of Hoverla, Artemida, and Ametyst varieties, the highest number of active nodules formed in 2021 (48.2-50.3 nodules), 2018 (45.5-47.4 nodules), 2011 (45.1-45.8 nodules), 2016 (45.0-46.7 nodules), 2013 (39.4-41.4 nodules), 2014 (39.3-41.1 nodules), 2012 (36.9-38.9 nodules), 2010 (36.8-38.5 nodules), 2019 (33.7-35.7 nodules), 2020 (32.7-35.1 nodules), and 2015 (26.1-27.6 nodules), compared to other varieties. In the non-inoculated variants, the number of active nodules on these varieties decreased by 16.2-19.2 nodules depending on the year of cultivation.

A high number of active nodules was observed in the Vinnytsia Region in 2018 with seed inoculation of varieties Hoverla (47.8 nodules), Artemida (46.9

nodules), Ametyst (46.0 nodules), Vezha (44.7 nodules), Femida (44.1 nodules), Zolotysta (43.4 nodules), and Oriana (42.4 nodules). This was 15.9-18.0 nodules higher compared to non-inoculated seeds. In the Kyiv Region, the highest number of active nodules was observed in 2014 with seed inoculation of varieties Hoverla (43.9 nodules), Artemida (41.9 nodules), Ametyst (41.0 nodules), Vezha (40.9 nodules), Femida (39.8 nodules), Zolotysta (38.9 nodules), and Oriana (39.5 nodules). This was 13.2-17.1 nodules higher compared to non-inoculated seeds. The lowest number of active nodules in the Kyiv Region was observed in 2015 with seed inoculation of varieties Hoverla (26.2 nodules), Artemida (25.2 nodules), Ametyst (24.3 nodules), Vezha (23.6 nodules), Femida (22.8 nodules), Zolotysta (22.1 nodules), and Oriana (21.7 nodules). This was 14.7-16.6 nodules higher compared to non-inoculated seeds. During soybean maturation, a decrease in nitrogen fixation was observed. At the BBCH microstage 80-90, a lower number of active nodules was observed on soybean roots compared to the BBCH microstage 68-69 (Table 4).

Table 4. Number of active nodules (number/plant) on soybean roots depending on the variety, seed inoculation, and environmental conditions at the BBCH microstage 80-90

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Kyiv Region													
Ametyst	w/i	10.2	12.4	9.8	11.7	13.3	6.1	9.0	8.5	11.2	10.7	10.3	12.4
	i	15.6	19.0	14.7	17.5	20.2	8.7	13.4	12.6	17.0	16.3	15.7	19.0
Hoverla	w/i	12.2	14.0	11.1	12.7	14.5	7.2	10.8	9.3	12.3	11.4	11.1	12.9
	i	18.5	21.4	16.9	19.6	22.4	10.6	16.7	14.2	18.7	17.2	16.8	20.9
Artemida	w/i	10.9	13.7	11.5	13.7	13.6	6.8	9.5	8.4	11.7	11.0	10.9	13.2
	i	15.6	22.1	17.4	21.2	20.8	9.9	14.2	12.6	17.8	16.6	16.6	20.4
Femida	w/i	10.8	13.2	9.9	12.6	13.8	6.0	9.2	8.2	10.6	10.3	10.1	12.4
	i	16.3	21.3	14.8	19.4	21.1	8.6	13.6	12.3	16.2	15.7	15.2	19.2
Zolotysta	w/i	12.9	13.1	9.7	12.3	13.1	5.6	8.6	7.9	10.5	10.5	9.6	12.0
	i	18.7	20.1	14.5	19.7	20.3	8.0	13.7	11.8	15.7	15.8	14.6	18.4
Vezha	w/i	10.0	13.2	9.8	13.3	13.7	6.7	9.1	8.6	11.0	10.3	9.8	12.5
	i	15.1	20.3	14.9	20.1	21.1	9.6	13.6	12.7	16.6	15.6	14.7	19.1
Oriana-standart	w/i	9.6	12.9	8.7	11.6	13.2	5.2	8.4	7.5	9.9	9.3	9.1	12.2
	i	14.5	19.8	13.0	17.5	20.4	7.5	12.5	11.2	14.8	14.2	13.6	18.3
Poltava Region													
Ametyst	w/i	10.2	14.3	10.1	10.6	11.5	6.4	13.2	9.4	13.4	9.3	8.8	14.8
	i	15.4	22.0	15.2	16.2	18.4	9.3	20.4	14.0	20.5	14.2	13.4	22.6
Hoverla	w/i	11.3	15.0	10.7	11.6	11.9	7.3	14.4	11.1	14.5	10.0	9.8	16.1
	i	17.2	23.1	16.3	17.9	19.2	10.8	22.0	16.8	22.2	15.9	14.7	24.8
Artemida	w/i	11.5	14.4	10.8	12.3	11.7	6.6	13.8	10.5	14.0	9.4	8.7	15.3
	i	18.4	22.2	17.2	18.7	18.8	10.5	21.1	15.9	21.6	14.9	13.9	23.5
Femida	w/i	10.1	13.6	10.5	11.0	12.3	5.9	13.6	10.4	13.1	9.2	8.1	13.9
	i	15.2	20.8	15.8	16.6	17.9	8.4	20.8	15.6	20.3	13.7	12.1	21.6
Zolotysta	w/i	9.8	13.5	9.9	10.4	11.4	5.7	12.9	7.3	12.9	9.0	7.8	13.1
	i	14.7	20.6	14.8	15.5	17.3	8.2	19.7	12.0	19.7	13.4	11.9	20.5
Vezha	w/i	10.9	14.0	10.6	11.1	12.1	6.2	13.3	10.6	13.2	9.3	8.5	14.0
	i	16.6	21.4	16.2	16.8	18.3	9.0	20.4	16.0	20.2	14.1	12.6	21.4
Oriana-standart	w/i	9.7	13.1	9.4	10.2	11.5	5.3	12.7	10.1	12.4	8.0	7.4	13.1
	i	14.5	20.2	14.0	15.3	17.6	7.6	19.3	15.2	19.1	12.3	11.2	20.3
Vinnytsia Region													
Ametyst	w/i	10.5	11.1	9.2	10.9	11.4	6.2	11.1	10.3	13.9	10.5	10.0	11.9
	i	16.9	16.8	13.7	16.4	17.3	9.0	17.2	15.7	21.3	17.8	15.2	18.2

Table 4. Continued

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Hoverla	w/i	11.2	11.9	10.1	12.1	12.7	6.9	12.2	11.1	15.3	11.3	10.7	12.8
	i	18.0	18.2	15.4	18.6	19.6	10.3	18.5	16.8	23.5	17.3	16.6	19.5
Artemida	w/i	11.5	11.3	10.4	12.2	12.1	6.2	11.7	10.1	14.5	10.9	10.4	12.5
	i	17.4	17.3	15.6	18.5	18.4	9.0	17.6	15.4	22.1	16.6	15.6	19.1
Femida	w/i	10.4	10.9	9.0	11.7	12.8	5.7	11.1	9.6	13.4	10.0	9.3	11.6
	i	16.7	16.4	13.6	17.3	19.5	8.1	16.8	14.5	20.8	16.0	14.2	17.5
Zolotysta	w/i	10.1	10.6	8.7	11.1	11.9	5.5	10.7	9.5	13.2	10.2	9.2	11.2
	i	16.3	16.2	13.0	16.6	18.0	7.8	16.5	14.4	20.4	15.6	13.7	17.1
Vezha	w/i	10.9	11.0	9.9	11.4	12.5	5.8	11.0	9.8	13.7	10.3	9.7	11.8
	i	17.5	16.8	14.8	17.3	19.2	8.2	16.6	14.7	21.2	15.6	14.5	18.2
Oriana-standart	w/i	10.0	10.5	8.2	10.6	11.6	5.1	10.4	9.2	12.6	9.2	8.7	11.4
	i	16.1	16.4	12.3	16.2	17.8	7.2	15.8	13.9	19.4	13.7	13.2	17.4

Source: developed by the authors

At BBCH microstage 80-90, a general trend of maintaining higher nitrogen fixation rates was observed in the same top-performing treatments as in the previous microstages (BBCH 61-62 and BBCH 68-69). The highest number of active nodules was observed in the Poltava Region in 2021 with seed inoculation of varieties Hoverla (24.8 nodules), Artemida (23.5 nodules), Ametyst (22.6 nodules), Femida (21.6 nodules), Vezha (21.4 nodules), Zolotysta (20.5 nodules), and Oriana (20.3 nodules). This was 7.2-8.7 nodules lower compared to non-inoculated seeds. The lowest number of active nodules was observed in the Kyiv Region in 2015

with seed inoculation of varieties Hoverla (10.6 nodules), Artemida (9.9 nodules), Vezha (9.6 nodules), Ametyst (8.7 nodules), Femida (8.6 nodules), Zolotysta (8.0 nodules), and Oriana (7.5 nodules). This was 2.3-3.4 nodules lower compared to non-inoculated seeds. To assess the effectiveness of the legume-rhizobia symbiosis, not only the number but also the mass of active nodules per plant is used. At BBCH microstage 51-59 in the Poltava Region in 2021, the highest active nodule mass was established in varieties Hoverla (68.6 mg), Artemida (66.9 mg), and Ametyst (65.7 mg) with seed inoculation (Table 5).

Table 5. Active nodule mass (mg/plant) on soybean roots depending on variety, seed inoculation, and environmental conditions at the BBCH microstage 51-59

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Kyiv Region													
Ametyst	w/i	33.9	44.4	30.9	42.5	45.8	14.7	27.3	24.4	34.7	34.1	32.8	40.8
	i	46.9	56.6	46.7	55.7	59.2	28.7	43.4	37.6	50.9	46.6	46.9	53.9
Hoverla	w/i	38.7	46.4	34.4	42.6	48.2	17.2	33.1	26.2	38.7	35.3	34.0	41.7
	i	52.9	59.5	48.4	57.8	62.3	32.4	47.1	40.2	52.6	49.1	48.3	55.6
Artemida	w/i	35.7	45.7	35.3	45.2	45.2	15.9	28.4	23.7	37.0	34.8	34.0	42.1
	i	47.8	58.7	47.8	56.9	60.9	29.8	45.6	38.7	51.7	47.9	47.6	54.8
Femida	w/i	35.5	45.2	30.5	42.6	46.9	14.6	27.5	23.2	33.5	33.1	32.7	40.0
	i	45.2	54.7	44.7	53.9	58.4	26.8	39.8	35.2	47.9	45.1	44.9	52.7
Zolotysta	w/i	42.6	45.3	30.1	41.7	44.7	13.3	26.2	22.8	33.5	33.5	31.4	39.6
	i	44.6	53.2	43.4	53.2	56.7	25.7	38.7	34.8	46.8	44.6	43.7	51.6
Vezha	w/i	33.1	45.6	31.4	43.4	46.4	15.1	27.5	24.1	34.4	33.5	31.8	40.4
	i	45.8	55.9	45.6	54.6	58.6	27.9	40.9	37.2	48.7	45.9	45.8	53.9
Oriana-standart	w/i	31.0	44.7	27.5	39.1	44.7	12.5	25.8	21.5	31.6	30.1	29.7	40.0
	i	43.0	52.7	41.4	52.8	57.7	24.8	38.2	33.6	45.5	43.7	42.5	50.8
Poltava Region													
Ametyst	w/i	33.3	48.7	33.3	37.7	39.3	17.3	47.4	32.5	46.6	28.1	27.7	53.9
	i	46.8	60.9	46.7	50.6	51.8	30.6	59.7	45.8	60.7	43.7	41.7	65.7
Hoverla	w/i	35.0	51.0	35.4	38.0	40.6	19.9	50.0	35.8	50.5	31.1	29.4	55.2
	i	48.7	63.4	48.2	52.3	53.1	32.1	62.7	48.4	62.9	45.3	43.4	68.6
Artemida	w/i	35.7	47.7	35.3	39.1	39.2	16.8	47.3	32.3	47.7	27.5	26.7	51.2
	i	47.9	62.8	47.8	51.7	52.6	31.9	60.8	46.5	61.8	44.6	42.6	66.9
Femida	w/i	32.3	46.9	32.3	37.0	40.4	15.5	46.9	31.8	45.2	26.7	25.4	49.0
	i	44.5	59.3	45.7	49.4	52.4	28.9	58.2	44.0	58.2	42.4	39.8	63.6

Table 5. Continued

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Zolotysta	w/i	31.4	46.8	31.4	35.3	38.7	15.1	45.6	18.5	44.7	25.8	24.9	48.2
	i	43.6	58.6	44.9	48.3	50.4	27.6	57.4	39.6	57.6	40.9	38.7	61.9
Vezha	w/i	34.0	47.3	34.8	37.0	40.0	15.9	46.4	32.7	45.2	27.1	26.2	49.0
	i	46.3	59.7	46.1	49.9	51.1	29.7	58.9	44.9	59.4	43.1	40.9	64.4
Oriana-standart	w/i	31.4	45.6	30.1	34.8	38.7	13.8	45.2	30.5	43.0	24.9	23.7	48.6
	i	43.2	57.5	42.3	47.6	49.8	26.5	56.6	39.1	56.4	38.8	37.6	60.8
Vinnitsia Region													
Ametyst	w/i	39.1	38.6	28.0	38.1	39.1	18.5	39.1	38.4	49.9	38.1	38.0	48.0
	i	49.0	49.4	42.6	50.4	52.6	28.7	50.8	50.1	62.4	48.9	44.9	59.8
Hoverla	w/i	34.8	38.3	30.1	37.8	40.9	17.6	38.3	34.0	52.0	34.8	32.2	41.3
	i	50.9	51.3	44.5	51.8	54.2	30.9	52.3	51.2	66.0	50.6	46.7	62.4
Artemida	w/i	35.3	37.0	31.0	38.7	40.0	15.9	37.0	31.0	49.0	34.4	32.3	43.0
	i	49.3	50.6	43.4	50.9	53.1	29.6	51.6	50.9	63.9	49.7	45.6	61.8
Femida	w/i	33.1	36.1	27.5	37.4	41.3	14.6	36.1	30.5	45.6	32.3	29.2	39.6
	i	45.0	48.5	40.8	49.2	50.9	26.9	48.4	47.6	58.7	46.9	43.1	56.7
Zolotysta	w/i	32.3	35.7	26.7	36.6	40.0	14.2	35.3	30.1	45.6	32.7	20.2	38.7
	i	44.3	47.9	39.5	48.4	50.1	26.3	47.5	45.4	57.9	45.8	41.5	54.8
Vezha	w/i	34.4	37.0	30.5	37.4	40.9	14.6	36.1	31.0	46.4	32.8	30.1	40.0
	i	46.8	48.8	41.9	49.7	51.8	27.6	49.1	48.7	59.5	47.5	43.8	58.3
Oriana-standart	w/i	32.3	35.7	25.4	35.3	39.6	12.9	34.4	29.2	43.4	29.7	28.0	39.1
	i	44.3	47.2	38.4	47.2	49.2	25.4	46.2	44.3	56.4	44.7	40.3	53.9

Source: developed by the authors

In the non-inoculated variants, the active nodule mass decreased by 11.8-15.7 mg/plant depending on the variety. A high active nodule mass with seed inoculation was also observed in 2011 on the roots of varieties Hoverla (63.4 mg), Artemida (62.8 mg), and Ametyst (60.9 mg), which was 12.2-15.1 mg/plant higher compared to the non-inoculated variants. In 2016 and 2018, a high active nodule mass was observed with seed inoculation in varieties Hoverla (62.7 and 62.9 mg, respectively), Artemida (60.8 and 61.8 mg, respectively), and Ametyst (59.7 and 60.7 mg), which was 12.3-14.1 mg/plant higher compared to the non-inoculated variants. The highest active nodule mass was observed in the Vinnitsia Region in 2018 in varieties Hoverla (66.0 mg), Artemida (63.9 mg), and Ametyst (62.4 mg). In the non-inoculated variants, this value decreased by 12.5-15.7 mg/plant. In the Kyiv Region, the highest active nodule mass was observed in 2014 in varieties Hoverla (62.3 mg), Artemida (60.9 mg), and Ametyst

(59.2 mg). In the non-inoculated variants, this value decreased by 13.4-15.7 mg/plant.

The formation of higher active nodule mass on the roots of soybean plants in different regions, depending on the favourable growing year, is supported by the hydrothermal coefficient values for each zone. The lowest active nodule mass following seed inoculation was observed in the Kyiv Region in 2015, which ranged from 24.8 mg/plant (Oriana) to 32.4 mg/plant (Hoverla). In the non-inoculated variants, this indicator decreased by 12.3-15.2 mg/plant. The lowest active nodule mass was also observed in 2015 in the conditions of the Vinnitsia and Poltava regions. Following seed inoculation, this value ranged from 25.4-26.5 mg/plant (Oriana) to 30.9-32.1 mg/plant (Hoverla). In the non-inoculated variants, the active nodule mass decreased by 12.2-13.3 mg/plant. An increase in active nodule mass was noted at the BBCH microstage 61-62 across all experimental variants (Table 6).

Table 6. Active nodule mass (mg/plant) on soybean roots depending on variety, seed inoculation, and environmental conditions at the BBCH microstage 61-62

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Kyiv Region													
Ametyst	w/i	182.8	235.4	155.9	224.7	243.3	53.5	134.0	113.4	179.8	176.1	169.7	217.5
	i	386.7	409.4	349.6	411.2	421.3	228.6	328.7	300.5	353.4	350.5	333.8	381.8
Hoverla	w/i	210.4	246.3	172.8	233.3	259.4	67.0	164.2	127.8	204.1	183.6	174.7	225.7
	i	412.3	427.8	371.8	428.9	438.7	245.9	348.9	319.8	383.1	362.7	354.8	404.5
Artemida	w/i	191.6	235.9	170.6	231.6	246.1	59.9	137.0	110.2	192.4	181.7	166.6	220.0
	i	395.6	416.5	364.4	419.8	429.6	237.8	337.6	312.3	366.7	355.4	340.9	397.2

Table 6. Continued

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Femida	w/i	172.8	232.1	155.2	231.1	249.3	53.7	132.7	109.7	176.6	170.1	155.4	215.1
	i	364.8	398.5	332.7	397.8	402.3	212.3	312.8	285.4	340.9	334.6	319.8	357.9
Zolotysta	w/i	177.6	233.2	150.5	221.5	241.5	42.0	126.7	103.9	172.8	171.5	157.9	207.0
	i	351.2	387.6	327.9	389.7	389.8	206.5	309.5	277.6	329.8	327.8	312.7	348.7
Vezha	w/i	178.7	236.5	160.9	230.1	247.2	54.0	133.8	111.3	177.6	175.1	161.6	216.1
	i	377.8	404.1	341.6	401.2	411.5	219.7	321.4	292.4	351.6	342.3	325.5	368.6
Oriana-standart	w/i	161.7	225.8	140.7	212.1	236.1	37.8	120.8	96.6	158.4	153.3	146.6	203.9
	i	347.9	378.8	321.6	379.5	378.9	201.3	301.2	270.7	319.8	317.9	305.7	337.5
Poltava Region													
Ametyst	w/i	189.3	279.4	189.2	208.1	208.4	79.9	269.4	188.3	268.3	148.2	139.4	297.1
	i	369.8	452.1	354.7	391.5	408.3	254.9	443.4	362.4	455.8	322.7	329.8	476.7
Hoverla	w/i	192.8	288.9	196.1	221.9	212.7	88.6	279.7	193.5	288.9	151.9	147.3	317.2
	i	384.5	484.5	390.8	415.6	426.7	276.7	468.9	386.7	478.9	345.8	345.6	512.5
Artemida	w/i	190.8	274.9	195.1	216.9	205.4	74.2	268.8	173.2	273.2	137.8	140.2	298.4
	i	378.1	467.9	369.6	406.7	419.8	268.2	451.6	369.9	467.5	331.2	334.7	492.4
Femida	w/i	178.7	271.0	173.3	199.8	214.7	66.7	266.1	169.1	254.8	139.1	128.6	285.7
	i	357.9	433.4	339.6	374.5	378.9	245.6	450.1	343.5	431.2	304.6	307.9	449.8
Zolotysta	w/i	163.3	271.8	168.0	192.6	204.4	63.1	257.6	166.9	253.6	133.6	125.7	277.1
	i	345.6	425.6	325.9	376.6	367.5	237.9	439.6	334.6	425.6	317.6	297.6	441.5
Vezha	w/i	179.8	271.2	191.2	203.0	214.1	70.3	264.8	175.0	258.2	139.1	133.8	283.0
	i	361.4	443.4	345.5	384.5	391.5	250.8	435.5	348.9	445.2	312.5	316.7	461.0
Oriana-standart	w/i	169.1	261.5	156.5	185.1	205.4	56.6	252.0	162.6	240.6	123.9	116.0	280.3
	i	341.2	415.6	323.8	365.7	361.6	230.6	431.0	328.8	420.4	297.8	290.1	434.7
Vinnytsia Region													
Ametyst	w/i	187.6	210.7	159.7	211.1	219.2	67.7	197.4	178.9	287.2	188.9	168.2	228.5
	i	370.6	369.5	341.5	391.7	406.7	253.4	380.4	343.2	461.2	362.9	352.2	402.3
Hoverla	w/i	188.1	211.2	160.6	209.9	226.8	80.7	211.7	186.4	292.1	188.6	169.9	229.2
	i	379.8	399.8	354.8	403.6	416.7	269.8	398.7	376.5	477.8	383.4	364.5	423.2
Artemida	w/i	194.4	205.5	164.6	215.7	224.7	70.2	203.4	164.8	280.6	185.8	175.0	236.5
	i	374.5	378.6	345.6	394.5	412.3	258.9	388.9	358.8	469.5	375.7	348.9	410.5
Femida	w/i	178.6	200.0	144.9	207.4	230.0	58.9	198.2	161.3	259.3	172.4	150.9	221.5
	i	357.7	361.2	325.5	381.4	394.6	240.9	368.7	326.4	444.7	342.1	334.9	385.6
Zolotysta	w/i	172.5	199.0	139.9	199.0	221.5	54.6	188.5	158.7	257.9	173.8	155.2	217.2
	i	351.2	353.4	321.4	374.9	387.5	235.6	359.8	319.9	435.6	357.8	327.8	376.7
Vezha	w/i	185.1	202.3	159.2	205.4	225.8	59.9	199.0	167.5	264.2	176.1	160.8	223.6
	i	366.7	364.5	334.7	384.8	402.1	244.5	373.4	338.6	452.4	350.1	347.8	397.6
Oriana-standart	w/i	171.7	196.9	126.0	187.9	218.4	48.3	186.6	150.5	244.7	154.4	142.8	215.2
	i	345.6	346.7	312.9	370.3	376.5	230.9	348.7	305.1	426.4	331.5	315.7	369.3

Source: developed by the authors

The highest active nodule mass was observed in the conditions of the Poltava Region following seed inoculation for the varieties Hoverla, Artemida, and Ametyst in 2021 (476.7-512.5 mg), 2018 (455.8-478.9 mg), 2011 (452.1-484.5 mg), 2016 (443.4-468.9 mg), and 2014 (408.3-426.7 mg). In the non-inoculated variants, the active nodule mass on the roots of these varieties decreased by 172.7-214.4 mg/plant, depending on the growing year. In the Vinnytsia Region, the highest active nodule mass following seed inoculation was noted for the varieties Hoverla, Artemida, and Ametyst in 2018 (461.2-477.8 mg), 2021 (402.3-423.2 mg), 2014 (406.7-416.7 mg), and 2013 (391.7-403.6 mg/plant). This was higher compared to the non-inoculated variants by 173.8-194.0 mg/plant, depending on the growing year. In the Kyiv Region, the highest active nodule

mass following seed inoculation was observed for the varieties Hoverla, Artemida, and Ametyst in 2014 (421.3-438.7 mg), 2013 (411.2-428.9 mg), 2011 (409.4-427.8 mg), and 2010 (386.7-412.3 mg). In the non-inoculated variants, this indicator decreased by 174.0-204.0 mg/plant, depending on the growing year. The lowest active nodule mass following seed inoculation in the conditions of the Kyiv Region was observed in 2015. This value ranged from 201.3 mg (Oriana) to 245.9 mg (Hoverla), which was 163.5 and 178.9 mg/plant higher compared to the non-inoculated variants. In the Poltava and Vinnytsia regions, the lowest active nodule mass following seed inoculation was also recorded in 2015 on the roots of all soybean varieties. This value ranged from 230.6 to 276.7 mg and from 230.9 to 269.8 mg/plant, respectively. In the non-inoculated variants, the

active nodule mass on the roots of soybean plants decreased by 174.0-189.1 mg/plant, depending on the growing region. Thus, in all regions where a significant precipitation deficit and the lowest hydrothermal coefficient values were observed throughout the study

years, the formation of the lowest active nodule mass and nodule count was noted. The highest values of active nodule mass on the roots of soybean varieties were achieved in all experimental variants at the BBCH microstage 68-69 (Table 7).

Table 7. Active nodule mass (mg/plant) on soybean roots depending on variety, seed inoculation, and environmental conditions at the BBCH microstage 68-69

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Kyiv Region													
Amethyst	w/i	223.3	283.4	201.5	275.9	295.3	93.0	183.0	158.5	230.2	225.6	217.2	266.8
	i	395.6	445.8	379.5	413.2	446.5	265.4	376.0	334.0	423.0	396.0	389.5	437.6
Hoverla	w/i	254.5	286.0	220.8	283.9	305.0	105.6	209.1	172.9	254.8	234.4	225.8	278.3
	i	422.5	476.5	396.7	448.9	482.9	285.6	394.0	356.0	449.0	423.0	413.7	463.0
Artemida	w/i	240.6	284.6	213.4	277.9	288.5	96.8	186.3	157.2	244.9	233.7	217.9	272.2
	i	412.3	455.7	388.7	423.8	462.1	274.9	387.0	348.0	431.0	412.0	401.0	449.8
Femida	w/i	221.8	282.2	202.9	282.2	302.7	90.6	182.9	156.4	224.6	218.9	206.3	266.3
	i	385.6	430.8	360.9	397.8	440.9	248.9	351.0	319.0	398.0	377.0	371.3	419.2
Zolotysta	w/i	219.8	281.1	200.1	277.1	296.4	82.9	176.0	151.8	223.3	221.0	208.9	263.3
	i	381.4	419.8	348.6	386.6	423.6	241.2	345.0	311.0	389.0	367.8	366.6	411.3
Vezha	w/i	226.0	284.5	210.5	282.7	301.8	91.5	183.2	158.2	228.9	224.3	211.5	270.3
	i	391.4	437.9	372.3	402.3	439.7	256.7	367.0	325.0	412.0	387.0	378.6	428.9
Oriana-standart	w/i	212.4	278.9	192.1	269.9	297.0	78.5	172.5	147.2	209.1	203.8	199.6	264.5
	i	374.6	429.6	340.5	375.4	439.8	236.4	338.0	304.0	375.0	352.9	357.6	402.4
Poltava Region													
Amethyst	w/i	238.3	327.2	237.1	257.6	258.9	108.9	328.6	199.3	318.9	186.6	188.3	347.0
	i	401.2	491.2	402.4	429.8	428.7	284.0	491.0	389.0	496.0	367.0	356.7	525.7
Hoverla	w/i	242.4	336.0	245.0	272.9	259.2	123.2	335.5	239.4	338.6	200.4	194.7	369.2
	i	419.8	513.8	423.8	450.9	447.8	301.3	509.0	419.0	517.0	389.0	382.6	547.8
Artemida	w/i	237.0	326.0	241.7	265.9	257.2	111.2	325.8	221.9	327.2	185.5	183.6	348.4
	i	409.6	498.7	411.7	443.5	439.6	289.4	495.0	406.0	508.0	378.0	371.5	536.4
Femida	w/i	224.4	322.1	219.2	251.5	262.0	101.5	322.0	219.3	307.3	183.9	174.2	335.6
	i	382.3	476.7	382.6	409.8	419.8	269.0	473.0	381.0	479.0	352.0	338.4	508.9
Zolotysta	w/i	216.8	321.8	214.7	242.7	256.5	92.3	314.6	213.6	305.9	178.0	170.9	330.8
	i	374.4	469.5	373.4	396.7	409.1	258.0	462.0	372.0	467.0	342.0	338.9	486.5
Vezha	w/i	231.3	324.9	237.5	251.1	260.1	105.2	321.5	223.6	310.4	185.0	179.8	336.7
	i	391.5	482.8	398.7	417.7	421.3	276.0	482.0	384.0	489.0	359.0	346.8	516.7
Oriana-standart	w/i	216.2	318.9	205.0	237.5	255.6	90.3	308.5	209.1	291.2	168.0	160.2	333.4
	i	366.5	456.6	362.9	385.5	403.6	249.0	454.0	361.0	456.0	331.0	329.2	481.0
Vinnytsia Region													
Amethyst	w/i	227.6	249.7	199.7	247.7	267.8	108.5	256.6	219.8	337.5	229.8	207.9	281.0
	i	398.4	419.8	365.4	418.9	438.6	278.0	421.0	389.0	501.0	392.0	376.9	439.0
Hoverla	w/i	236.9	257.7	204.5	256.3	276.0	116.6	260.8	229.3	342.8	236.0	217.8	280.3
	i	414.8	438.9	387.9	434.6	456.7	291.0	439.0	413.0	520.8	413.2	387.8	462.0
Artemida	w/i	241.3	252.4	208.8	262.0	273.9	104.8	250.2	211.9	332.3	234.2	221.2	284.0
	i	405.6	430.7	378.7	428.7	449.8	287.0	428.0	398.0	511.2	401.0	379.5	450.0
Femida	w/i	228.9	248.6	188.2	255.0	278.7	95.0	247.3	209.5	313.0	219.4	197.6	270.6
	i	381.5	406.7	349.6	389.9	423.4	263.0	405.0	367.0	481.0	371.0	361.0	419.0
Zolotysta	w/i	220.7	247.2	183.1	249.0	273.1	91.8	239.6	204.5	311.6	222.4	200.1	265.7
	i	368.9	398.5	340.5	378.6	411.9	254.0	398.0	354.0	473.0	364.0	352.0	408.0
Vezha	w/i	235.6	251.1	206.3	254.5	277.3	96.1	246.8	213.0	316.7	223.7	203.1	271.2
	i	389.7	413.6	356.7	402.5	431.2	269.0	412.0	376.0	487.0	382.0	369.0	428.0
Oriana-standart	w/i	220.4	244.7	172.1	239.9	272.6	83.5	234.1	199.0	299.5	203.8	190.4	267.8
	i	374.3	387.4	334.1	367.4	403.1	245.0	385.0	343.0	462.0	354.0	348.0	397.0

Source: developed by the authors

In the conditions of the Poltava Region, the highest active nodule mass was recorded in 2021, where,

following seed inoculation, it ranged from 481.0 mg/plant (Oriana) to 547.8 mg/plant (Hoverla). That year,

the highest active nodule mass following seed inoculation was observed on the roots of the soybean varieties Hoverla (547.8 mg), Artemida (536.4 mg), Ametyst (525.7 mg), Vezha (516.7 mg), and Femida (508.9 mg). In the non-inoculated variants, this value decreased by 173.7-188.0 mg/plant, depending on the variety. High values of active nodule mass were also observed in 2011 and 2018, following seed inoculation, for the varieties Hoverla (513.0 and 517.0 mg), Artemida (498.7 and 508.0 mg), and Ametyst (491.2 and 496.0 mg). These values were 164.0-180.8 mg/plant higher compared to the non-inoculated variants, depending on the variety and year. In the Poltava Region, high active nodule mass was observed in 2016 following seed inoculation in the soybean varieties Hoverla (509.0 mg), Artemida (495.0 mg), and Ametyst (491.0 mg). These values were 162.4-173.5 mg/plant higher compared to the non-inoculated variants. In the conditions of the Vinnytsia Region, the highest values of active nodule

mass were recorded in 2018 following seed inoculation for the soybean varieties Hoverla (520.8 mg), Artemida (511.2 mg), and Ametyst (501 mg/plant). These values were 163.5-178.09 mg/plant higher compared to the non-inoculated variants. In the Kyiv Region, the highest values of active nodule mass were observed in 2014 in the variants where seed inoculation was carried out for the soybean varieties Hoverla (482.9 mg), Artemida (462.1 mg), and Ametyst (446.5 mg/plant). These values were 151.2-177.9 mg/plant higher compared to the non-inoculated variants. The lowest active nodule mass was recorded in the conditions of the Kyiv Region in 2015 on the roots of all soybean varieties. This value ranged from 236.4 mg/plant (Oriana) to 285.6 mg/plant (Hoverla) following seed inoculation, which was 157.9-180.0 mg/plant higher compared to the non-inoculated variants. At the BBCH microstage 80-90, a decrease in active nodule mass was observed on the roots of the soybean plants (Table 8).

Table 8. Active nodule mass (mg/plant) on soybean roots depending on variety, seed inoculation, and environmental conditions at the BBCH microstage 80-90

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Kyiv Region													
Ametyst	w/i	44.9	50.5	39.5	46.7	52.1	25.4	39.6	37.4	49.3	47.1	45.3	54.6
	i	81.9	88.5	81.5	95.6	90.2	62.3	75.5	76.0	84.0	81.0	80.1	90.1
Hoverla	w/i	56.1	63.0	47.7	55.9	62.4	32.4	46.4	40.0	52.9	49.0	47.7	55.5
	i	90.1	101.0	90.7	100.8	104.3	71.2	85.1	84.0	89.0	87.2	87.2	95.3
Artemida	w/i	49.0	60.3	50.6	61.7	59.8	29.9	40.9	34.4	51.5	48.4	48.0	56.8
	i	81.1	97.3	87.6	99.6	98.7	67.8	78.5	78.0	86.0	83.1	84.5	93.4
Femida	w/i	47.0	56.8	41.6	54.2	59.3	25.2	39.6	32.8	44.5	43.3	43.4	52.1
	i	84.0	92.8	75.6	90.2	91.3	57.0	73.4	71.0	79.0	75.6	73.0	86.2
Zolotysta	w/i	54.2	53.7	37.8	51.7	49.8	23.0	34.4	30.7	42.0	45.2	39.5	48.0
	i	91.2	89.7	71.8	87.6	85.8	55.1	70.1	68.0	75.0	73.0	71.3	82.1
Vezha	w/i	43.0	55.4	39.2	58.5	57.5	28.8	39.1	36.1	47.3	42.1	41.2	53.8
	i	78.0	93.4	76.2	94.4	88.5	59.6	74.0	75.0	82.0	78.1	76.0	90.3
Oriana-standart	w/i	39.5	51.6	33.1	46.4	51.5	20.8	31.9	28.5	38.8	37.2	36.4	50.0
	i	73.5	85.6	69.1	82.4	87.6	51.4	67.5	63.0	72.0	70.3	68.6	79.9
Poltava Region													
Ametyst	w/i	42.8	62.9	42.4	44.5	43.7	26.9	57.6	36.7	57.6	38.1	38.7	62.2
	i	79.8	99.9	80.4	89.4	86.7	63.5	96.1	89.0	95.0	81.3	74.2	95.4
Hoverla	w/i	48.6	69.0	48.2	52.2	52.4	32.1	64.4	46.5	62.4	43.0	44.1	70.8
	i	86.5	108.0	91.2	101.1	96.2	71.0	102.0	87.0	104.0	89.4	83.0	106.7
Artemida	w/i	50.6	64.8	49.7	56.6	50.3	28.4	60.7	43.1	60.2	39.5	37.4	65.8
	i	84.6	102.8	88.7	95.5	89.3	66.2	97.0	82.0	98.1	84.2	76.5	102.3
Femida	w/i	41.4	55.8	45.2	47.3	54.1	23.6	58.5	41.6	56.3	35.9	33.2	55.6
	i	77.4	92.8	78.2	85.3	81.1	59.3	92.1	79.0	89.2	72.3	69.4	89.5
Zolotysta	w/i	39.2	54.0	40.6	42.6	45.6	22.2	52.9	27.0	55.5	34.2	31.2	51.1
	i	75.2	91.0	76.6	78.6	77.6	58	87.6	63.0	86.4	68.7	65.3	85.6
Vezha	w/i	46.9	60.2	46.6	48.8	52.0	25.4	55.4	45.6	56.8	37.2	35.7	57.4
	i	83.9	98.2	79.6	87.7	85.0	61.2	93.9	81.0	93.3	76.5	72.6	92.2
Oriana-standart	w/i	37.8	51.1	36.7	40.8	47.2	20.1	50.8	39.4	53.3	29.6	28.9	49.8
	i	73.8	86.1	72.7	76.9	75.2	56.0	86.1	72.0	83.6	64.1	62.7	81.1
Vinnytsia Region													
Ametyst	w/i	44.1	46.6	38.6	46.9	45.6	26.7	48.2	44.3	59.8	45.2	41.0	53.6
	i	80.1	83.6	80.6	87.9	83.5	62.5	84.8	76.0	96.0	81.3	78.3	88.7
Hoverla	w/i	48.2	52.4	43.4	52.0	55.9	30.4	54.9	48.8	68.9	48.6	47.1	58.9
	i	87.2	92.4	85.4	98.0	94.8	69.2	94.0	85.0	107.2	88.5	85.4	104.5

Table 8. Continued

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Artemida	w/i	50.6	48.6	44.7	52.5	52.0	26.0	51.5	42.4	63.8	46.9	43.7	56.3
	i	87.6	87.6	82.7	91.5	89.0	63.5	89.2	80.0	102.4	84.6	81.2	96.8
Femida	w/i	42.6	44.7	36.9	50.3	55.0	22.8	46.6	38.4	57.6	43.0	36.3	49.9
	i	78.6	79.7	73.9	86.3	81.0	58.0	81.8	74.0	90.1	75.2	73.1	82.3
Zolotysta	w/i	40.4	42.4	34.8	47.7	48.4	21.5	42.8	37.1	54.1	43.9	35.0	44.8
	i	76.4	77.4	70.8	83.7	77.3	57.6	77.9	72.0	87.0	73.1	70.5	78.4
Vezha	w/i	46.9	47.3	42.6	49.0	52.5	23.8	45.1	40.2	57.5	44.3	38.8	51.9
	i	83.9	81.3	77.6	85.0	81.4	59.2	82.6	76.0	94.3	79.8	75.6	85.6
Oriana-standart	w/i	39.0	41.0	32.0	45.6	44.1	19.4	40.6	35.0	50.4	39.6	33.9	47.9
	i	74.0	75.0	68.0	81.5	75.2	55.1	76.1	69.0	85.0	70.2	68.1	75.7

Source: developed by the authors

Nitrogen fixation gradually decreases as soybean plants approach maturity. However, the best variants identified in earlier microstages of the soybean development scale continued to yield higher values even at the latest microstage, BBCH 80-90. The highest mass of active nodules at BBCH microstage 80-90 was observed in the conditions of the Poltava Region. For seed-inoculated varieties, such as Hoverla, Artemida, and Ametyst, the active nodule mass in 2021 ranged from 95.4 to 106.7 mg/plant; in 2011, from 99.9 to 108.0 mg/plant; in 2018, from 95.0 to 104.0 mg/plant; in 2016, from 96.1 to 102.0 mg/plant; and in 2013, from 89.4 to 101.1 mg/plant. In the non-inoculated variants, this value decreased by 33.2 to 48.9 mg/plant, depending on the year of cultivation. High values of active nodule mass were also recorded in the Vinnytsia Region with seed inoculation in 2018, for the soybean varieties Hoverla (107.2 mg/plant), Artemida

(102.4 mg/plant), and Ametyst (96.0 mg/plant). These values were 36.2 to 38.6 mg/plant higher than in the non-inoculated variants. High active nodule mass was also observed in 2021 for the varieties Hoverla (104.5 mg), Artemida (38.6 mg), and Ametyst (36.2 mg/plant). In the Kyiv Region, the highest active nodule mass was observed in 2014 for seed-inoculated varieties, with Hoverla (104.3 mg), Artemida (98.7 mg), and Ametyst (90.2 mg/plant). These values were 38.1 to 41.9 mg/plant higher than in the non-inoculated variants. The studies recorded the highest active symbiotic potential in the Poltava Region in 2021 for seed-inoculated soybean varieties: Hoverla (25,109 thousand kg-day/ha), Artemida (24,267 thousand kg-day/ha), Ametyst (23,522 thousand kg-day/ha), and Vezha (22,868 thousand kg-day/ha). These values were 5,824 to 6,456 thousand kg-day/ha higher than in the non-inoculated variants (Table 9).

Table 9. Active symbiotic potential (ASP) on soybean roots, depending on variety, seed inoculation, and environmental conditions at BBCH microstage 51-90, thousand kg-day/ha

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Kyiv Region													
Ametyst	w/i	11,311	14,313	10,019	13,780	14,863	4,405	9,018	7,820	11,550	11,295	10,874	13,510
	i	18,664	20,255	17,368	20,006	20,644	11,723	16,549	15,102	18,158	17,597	17,016	19,335
Hoverla	w/i	13,013	14,839	11,100	14,373	15,667	5,188	10,545	8,592	12,855	11,749	11,280	14,047
	i	20,008	21,472	18,437	21,116	21,718	12,715	17,611	16,141	19,480	18,460	18,097	20,450
Artemida	w/i	12,092	14,544	10,891	14,282	14,845	4,732	9,205	7,661	12,295	11,676	10,895	13,779
	i	19,138	20,791	18,050	20,488	21,185	12,234	17,043	15,682	18,700	18,008	17,466	20,018
Femida	w/i	11,124	14,334	10,084	14,252	15,342	4,330	8,989	7,595	11,224	10,902	10,238	13,406
	i	17,911	19,795	16,492	19,284	19,938	10,904	15,666	14,336	17,330	16,761	16,207	18,310
Zolotysta	w/i	11,330	14,266	9,840	13,864	14,815	3,825	8,564	7,306	11,067	11,029	10,287	13,086
	i	17,589	19,253	16,100	18,843	19,348	10,582	15,412	13,942	16,795	16,388	15,888	17,845
Vezha	w/i	11,276	14,464	10,386	14,329	15,239	4,434	9,010	7,745	11,430	11,144	10,467	13,580
	i	18,259	20,081	16,924	19,532	20,204	11,287	16,152	14,725	17,890	17,176	16,541	18,843
Oriana-standart	w/i	10,464	14,014	9,305	13,352	14,762	3,569	8,297	6,978	10,292	9,994	9,721	13,102
	i	17,043	19,040	15,731	18,296	19,033	10,284	15,023	13,537	16,245	15,842	15,495	17,350
Poltava Region													
Ametyst	w/i	11,852	16,737	11,805	12,856	12,897	5,436	16,486	10,527	16,162	9,377	9,267	17,698
	i	18,205	22,369	17,792	19,449	19,890	12,780	22,023	17,988	22,442	16,373	16,278	23,522

Table 9. Continued

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Hoverla	w/i	12,158	17,308	12,297	13,725	13,155	6,147	17,031	12,050	17,267	9,974	9,720	18,920
	i	19,033	23,796	19,347	20,672	20,873	13,803	23,156	19,089	23,592	17,509	17,268	25,109
Artemida	w/i	11,996	16,643	12,202	13,503	12,907	5,421	16,442	11,045	16,563	9,165	9,109	17,811
	i	18,664	23,010	18,521	20,202	20,427	13,308	22,347	18,307	23,014	16,832	16,671	24,267
Femida	w/i	11,195	16,280	11,002	12,558	13,305	4,889	16,236	10,856	15,521	9,071	8,518	16,983
	i	17,532	21,478	17,039	18,599	18,825	12,209	21,883	17,120	21,358	15,460	15,284	22,378
Zolotysta	w/i	10,624	16,254	10,675	12,057	12,780	4,511	15,740	10,291	15,438	8,752	8,325	16,586
	i	17,016	21,113	16,479	18,345	18,257	11,797	21,337	16,370	20,977	15,616	14,896	21,745
Vezha	w/i	11,534	16,453	11,942	12,634	13,198	5,104	16,135	11,179	15,690	9,131	8,840	16,999
	i	17,905	21,957	17,453	19,045	19,263	12,499	21,622	17,368	21,991	15,873	15,727	22,868
Oriana-standart	w/i	10,692	15,911	10,079	11,721	12,780	4,281	15,405	10,373	14,692	8,173	7,763	16,705
	i	16,753	20,544	16,166	17,838	17,959	11,412	20,943	16,222	20,610	14,778	14,485	21,373
Vynnytsia Region													
Ametyst	w/i	11,555	12,709	10,002	12,657	13,408	5,197	12,697	11,150	17,152	11,659	10,523	14,190
	i	18,233	18,552	16,867	19,282	19,924	12,609	18,929	17,255	22,709	17,947	17,320	20,016
Hoverla	w/i	11,893	13,063	10,265	12,986	13,998	5,752	13,215	11,634	17,583	11,882	10,927	14,234
	i	18,878	19,876	17,667	20,045	20,689	13,416	19,892	18,722	23,719	18,981	17,982	21,263
Artemida	w/i	12,192	12,718	10,503	13,285	13,820	5,100	12,662	10,558	16,932	11,742	11,067	14,448
	i	18,587	19,053	17,211	19,567	20,345	12,919	19,363	17,914	23,229	18,511	17,324	20,583
Femida	w/i	11,359	12,420	9,337	12,865	14,129	4,523	12,386	10,344	15,806	10,950	9,738	13,616
	i	17,546	18,052	16,041	18,556	19,301	11,938	18,286	16,404	21,800	16,923	16,484	19,100
Zolotysta	w/i	10,948	12,316	9,041	12,473	13,668	4,317	11,888	10,117	15,673	11,088	9,812	13,290
	i	17,141	17,666	15,724	18,159	18,863	11,650	17,848	15,974	21,361	17,230	16,086	18,595
Vezha	w/i	11,769	12,593	10,283	12,789	13,960	4,593	12,354	10,604	16,015	11,180	10,143	13,714
	i	18,043	18,273	16,448	18,802	19,642	12,154	18,540	16,931	22,194	17,395	17,020	19,654
Oriana-standart	w/i	10,895	12,175	8,283	11,937	13,514	3,896	11,639	9,769	14,972	10,057	9,313	13,373
	i	17,003	17,269	15,324	17,819	18,375	11,334	17,302	15,327	20,889	16,265	15,618	18,171

Source: developed by the authors

In the Poltava Region, high values of active symbiotic potential were recorded in 2011 for seed-inoculated soybean varieties: Hoverla – 23,796; Artemida – 23,010; Ametyst – 22,369; and Vezha – 21,957 thousand kg-day/ha. In the non-inoculated variants, this value decreased by 5,504 to 6,488 thousand kg-day/ha. In 2018, high values of active symbiotic potential were also observed for seed-inoculated varieties Hoverla, Artemida, Ametyst, and Vezha, which were 6,301 to 6,451 thousand kg-day/ha higher compared to the non-inoculated variants. The increase in active symbiotic potential can be explained by the high values of the hydrothermal coefficient in these years of research. In the Vynnytsia Region, the active symbiotic potential in 2018 for seed-inoculated varieties Hoverla, Artemida, Ametyst, and Vezha ranged from 22,194 to 23,719 thousand kg-day/ha, which was 5,557 to 6,297 thousand kg-day/ha higher compared to the non-inoculated variants. In 2021, for seed-inoculated varieties Hoverla, Artemida, and Ametyst, this indicator increased by 5,826 to 7,029 thousand kg-day/ha compared to the non-inoculated variants. In the Kyiv Region, the highest values of active symbiotic

potential were recorded in 2014 for the soybean varieties Hoverla (21,718), Artemida (21,185), Ametyst (20,644), and Vezha (20,204 thousand kg-day/ha). These values were 4,965 to 6,340 thousand kg-day/ha higher compared to the non-inoculated variants. The lowest values of active symbiotic potential were observed in 2015 in the Kyiv Region. For seed-inoculated varieties, this indicator ranged from 10,284 (variety Oriana) to 12,715 (variety Hoverla), which was directly related to the lowest values of the hydrothermal coefficient in that year. The most significant positive effect on the formation of the active symbiotic potential indicator was achieved through the use of seed inoculation. This promoted a more intensive colonisation of soybean plant roots by symbiotic bacteria, leading to an increase in the number of nodules and their potential mass, which in turn contributed to a higher symbiotic potential. The magnitude of the active symbiotic apparatus was influenced by hydrothermal and edaphic conditions, seed inoculation, and the variety, all of which had a significant impact on the amount of biologically fixed nitrogen in soybean crops (Table 10).

Table 10. Amount of biologically fixed nitrogen depending on variety, seed inoculation, and ecological growing conditions, kg/ha

Variety	Inoculation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Kyiv Region													
Ametyst	w/i	58.8	74.4	52.1	71.8	77.3	22.9	46.9	40.7	60.1	58.7	56.5	70.3
	i	97.1	105.3	90.3	104.0	107.3	60.9	86.1	78.5	94.4	91.5	88.5	100.5
Hoverla	w/i	67.7	77.2	57.7	74.7	81.5	27.0	54.8	44.7	66.8	61.1	58.7	73.0
	i	104.0	111.7	95.9	109.8	112.9	66.1	91.6	83.9	101.3	96.0	94.1	106.3
Artemida	w/i	62.9	75.6	56.6	74.3	77.2	24.6	47.9	39.8	63.9	60.7	56.7	71.7
	i	99.5	108.1	93.9	106.5	110.2	63.6	88.6	81.5	97.2	93.6	90.8	104.1
Femida	w/i	57.8	74.5	52.4	74.1	79.8	22.5	46.7	39.5	58.4	56.7	53.2	69.7
	i	93.1	102.9	85.8	100.3	103.7	56.7	81.5	74.6	90.1	87.2	84.3	95.2
Zolotysta	w/i	58.9	74.2	51.2	72.1	77.0	19.9	44.5	38.0	57.5	57.4	53.5	68.0
	i	91.5	100.1	83.7	98.0	100.6	55.0	80.1	72.5	87.3	85.2	82.6	92.8
Vezha	w/i	58.6	75.2	54.0	74.5	79.2	23.1	46.9	40.3	59.4	57.9	54.4	70.6
	i	94.9	104.4	88.0	101.6	105.1	58.7	84.0	76.6	93.0	89.3	86.0	98.0
Oriana-standart	w/i	54.4	72.9	48.4	69.4	76.8	18.6	43.1	36.3	53.5	52.0	50.6	68.1
	i	88.6	99.0	81.8	95.1	99.0	53.5	78.0	70.4	84.5	82.4	80.6	90.2
Poltava Region													
Ametyst	w/i	61.6	87.0	61.4	66.9	67.1	28.3	85.7	54.7	84.0	48.8	48.2	92.0
	i	94.7	116.3	92.5	101.1	103.4	66.5	114.5	93.5	116.7	85.1	84.6	122.3
Hoverla	w/i	63.2	90.0	63.9	71.4	68.4	32.0	88.6	62.7	89.8	51.9	50.5	98.4
	i	98.9	123.7	100.6	107.5	108.5	71.8	120.4	99.3	122.7	91.0	89.8	130.6
Artemida	w/i	62.4	86.5	63.5	70.2	67.1	28.2	85.5	57.4	86.1	47.7	47.4	92.6
	i	97.1	119.7	96.3	105.0	106.2	69.1	116.2	95.2	119.7	87.5	86.7	126.2
Femida	w/i	58.2	84.7	57.2	65.3	69.2	25.4	84.4	56.4	80.7	47.2	44.3	88.3
	i	91.2	111.6	88.6	96.7	97.9	63.5	113.8	89.0	111.1	80.4	79.5	116.4
Zolotysta	w/i	55.2	84.5	55.5	62.7	66.5	23.5	81.8	53.5	80.3	45.5	43.3	86.2
	i	88.5	109.8	85.7	95.4	94.9	61.3	111.0	85.1	109.1	81.2	77.5	113.1
Vezha	w/i	60.0	85.6	62.1	65.7	68.6	26.5	83.9	58.1	81.6	47.5	46.0	88.4
	i	93.1	114.2	90.8	99.0	100.2	65.0	112.4	90.3	114.4	82.5	81.8	118.9
Oriana-standart	w/i	55.6	82.7	52.4	61.0	66.6	22.3	80.1	53.9	76.4	42.5	40.4	86.9
	i	87.1	106.8	84.1	92.8	93.4	59.3	108.9	84.4	107.2	76.8	75.3	111.1
Vinnitsia Region													
Ametyst	w/i	60.1	66.1	52.0	65.8	69.7	27.0	66.0	58.0	89.2	60.6	54.7	73.8
	i	94.8	96.5	87.7	100.3	103.6	65.6	98.4	89.7	118.1	93.3	90.1	104.1
Hoverla	w/i	61.8	67.9	53.4	67.5	72.8	29.9	68.7	60.5	91.4	61.8	56.8	74.0
	i	98.2	103.4	91.9	104.2	107.6	69.8	103.4	97.4	123.3	98.7	93.5	110.6
Artemida	w/i	63.4	66.1	54.6	69.1	71.9	26.5	65.8	54.9	88.0	61.1	57.5	75.1
	i	96.6	99.0	89.5	101.7	105.8	67.2	100.7	93.2	120.8	96.3	90.1	107.0
Femida	w/i	59.1	64.6	48.6	66.9	73.5	23.5	64.4	53.8	82.2	56.9	50.6	70.8
	i	91.2	93.9	83.4	96.5	100.4	62.1	95.1	85.3	113.4	88.0	85.7	99.3
Zolotysta	w/i	56.9	64.0	47.1	64.9	71.1	22.4	61.8	52.6	81.5	57.7	51.0	69.1
	i	89.1	91.9	81.8	94.4	98.2	60.6	92.7	83.1	111.1	89.6	83.6	96.7
Vezha	w/i	61.2	65.5	53.5	66.5	72.6	23.9	64.2	55.1	83.3	58.1	52.7	71.3
	i	93.8	95.0	85.7	97.8	102.1	63.2	96.4	88.0	115.4	90.5	88.5	102.2
Oriana-standart	w/i	56.7	63.3	43.6	62.1	70.3	20.3	60.5	50.8	77.9	52.3	48.4	69.5
	i	88.4	89.8	79.7	92.7	95.5	58.9	90.0	79.7	108.6	84.6	81.2	94.5

Source: developed by the authors

The highest values of biologically fixed nitrogen were recorded in the Poltava Region in the experimental variant where seed inoculation was applied in 2021 for the varieties Hoverla (130.6 kg/ha), Artemida (126.2 kg/ha), Ametyst (122.3 kg/ha), and Vezha (118.9 kg/ha). These values were 30.3–33.6 kg/ha higher compared to the non-inoculated variants. High levels of biologically

fixed nitrogen were also observed in 2011 for the varieties Hoverla (123.7 kg/ha), Artemida (119.7 kg/ha), Ametyst (116.3 kg/ha), and Vezha (114.2 kg/ha). These values were higher by 33.7, 33.2, and 29.3 kg/ha, respectively, compared to the non-inoculated variants. Similarly, high levels of biologically fixed nitrogen were observed in 2018 for the varieties: Hoverla (122.7 kg/ha),

Artemida (119.7 kg/ha), and Amethyst (116.7 kg/ha). These values were higher than those in the non-inoculated variants by 32.9, 33.6, and 32.7 kg/ha, respectively. It is worth noting the relatively high amounts of biologically fixed nitrogen in 2016 in the experimental variants with seed inoculation for the varieties: Hoverla (120.4 kg/ha), Artemida (116.2 kg/ha), and Amethyst (114.5 kg/ha). These values were higher by 31.8, 30.7, and 28.8 kg/ha, respectively, compared to the non-inoculated variants. In the Vinnytsia Region, high levels of biologically fixed nitrogen were recorded in 2018 with seed inoculation for the varieties Hoverla (123.3 kg/ha), Artemida (120.8 kg/ha), and Amethyst (118.1 kg/ha). In the non-inoculated variants, this value decreased by 28.9–32.8 kg/ha. The lowest amount of biologically fixed nitrogen was observed in 2015 with seed inoculation. This value ranged from 53.5 kg/ha (variety Oriana) to 71.8 kg/ha (variety Hoverla), which was 34.9–39.8 kg/ha higher compared to the non-inoculated variants. Seed inoculation with nitrogen-fixing bacteria has a significant impact on nitrogen fixation (Fig. 2).

Seed inoculation had the most significant impact on biological nitrogen fixation, accounting for 96.89% of the variation. Hydrothermal conditions

contributed 2.3% and varietal differences 0.76%. The interaction effects of these factors on biological nitrogen fixation did not exceed 1%. The average amount of biologically fixed nitrogen with and without seed inoculation under various soil and hydrothermal conditions for different soybean varieties is presented in Figure 3.




Figure 2. The contribution of factors and their interactions to nitrogen fixation in soybean plants
Source: developed by the authors




Figure 3. Average amount of biologically fixed nitrogen under different ecological conditions of cultivation
Source: developed by the authors

The highest amount of biologically fixed nitrogen was recorded in the Hoverla variety, both in the non-inoculated variant (65.1 kg/ha) and with seed inoculation (101.2 kg/ha). For the Amethyst and Artemida varieties,

the amount of biologically fixed nitrogen with seed inoculation was 95.5 and 98.1 kg/ha, respectively. Seed inoculation increased the amount of biologically fixed nitrogen by 36.1 kg/ha (Fig. 4).




Figure 4. Increase in the amount of biologically fixed nitrogen depending on seed inoculation and the response of soybean varieties, kg/ha

Source: developed by the authors

Seed inoculation in the Artemida variety led to an increase in biologically fixed nitrogen by 35.3 kg/ha. In the Ametyst variety, the amount of biologically fixed nitrogen increased by 33.9 kg/ha compared to the non-inoculated variant. Thus, the selected soybean varieties – Hoverla, Artemida, and Ametyst – showed a strong response to treatment with nitrogen-fixing bacteria, which facilitated the influx of biologically fixed nitrogen. These varieties are recommended for cultivation in various ecological conditions to support the effective functioning of the soybean's legume-rhizobia symbiosis, which will contribute to the increased resilience of agroecosystems.

DISCUSSION

Legumes are competitive crops due to their environmental and socio-economic benefits, such as nitrogen fixation, soil enrichment with high-quality organic matter, promotion of nutrient cycling, and water retention in the soil. *Rhizobium* bacteria play a crucial role in nitrogen fixation and sustainable agriculture (Soumare *et al.*, 2020). Rhizobia colonise the root system of legumes, forming nodules where nitrogen fixation occurs, converting atmospheric nitrogen into a plant-available form (Coba de la Pena *et al.*, 2018). nodules are primarily formed on the main root, where they are larger in size and mass. Most of them are located in the topsoil. Under favourable conditions, a single plant can form 21 to 80 or more nodules (Roy Choudhury *et al.*, 2019). The number and mass of active nodules on plant roots, especially during periods of peak photosynthetic activity, are important indicators of successful legume-rhizobia symbiosis (Savala *et al.*, 2022). According to research by M. Vasconcelos *et al.* (2024), biological nitrogen fixation by legumes varies from 21 to 389 kg/ha. This is corroborated by the results obtained from the study of the impact of the investigated factors on biological nitrogen fixation in soybean plants, which varied from 36.3 to 130.6 kg/ha.

The activity of rhizobia is influenced by weather conditions that affect the bacteria through the plant, as they live in symbiosis. Water scarcity slows down plant photosynthesis, while waterlogging causes oxygen deficiency in nodules, reducing nitrogen fixation rates (Sinclair & Nogueira, 2018). The current research has established a relationship between nodule formation on soybean roots and the hydrothermal coefficient of the growing region. During periods of moisture deficiency across all years of the study, the lowest mass and number of nodules were observed. The increase in the number of active nodules on plant roots depending on the growth stage of soybeans aligns with the findings of other researchers (Cigelske *et al.*, 2020). In the studies by A. Korobko *et al.* (2024), the highest number of active nodules on soybean roots was also confirmed at the BBCH microstage 68-69. The study also highlighted a decrease in the number of active nodules on plant roots during soybean maturation.

The publication by D. Krutylo *et al.* (2021) highlights the dependence of the diversity of local rhizobia on the environmental conditions of the growing region and host plant genotypes. According to B. Cigelske *et al.* (2020), the average number of nodules per plant varied significantly among different soybean varieties depending on the growing year. The researchers attributed this variation to factors such as soil type, preceding crop, rainfall, and soil nitrogen levels. This confirms the data obtained on the variation in the number of nodules depending on the region and year of cultivation of soybean varieties. In the studies of C.E.N. Savala *et al.* (2022), the soybean variety TGx 1904-6F formed more nodules than the variety Storm. This number varied depending on the year and growing region. Overall, the application of bioinoculants promoted greater nitrogen uptake by plants in areas with the lowest abundance of native rhizobia.

Seed inoculation of soybean varieties resulted in a significant increase in both the number (by 10-45%) and mass (by 11-86%) of nodules on plant roots. Researchers have also reported increased levels of symbiotic nitrogen fixation (by 1.2-4.2 times) and seed weight per plant (by 6-29%), depending on the soybean variety (Krutylo, 2023). The experimental data obtained regarding the increase in the number of active nodules on soybean roots following inoculation with bio-preparations is confirmed by the results of other researchers. The increase in both the number and mass of nodules on soybean roots upon inoculation with *Rhizobia* has been observed in studies by Z. Getachew Gebrehana and L. Abeble Dagnaw (2020) and R. Omari *et al.* (2022). In the article by E. Szpunar-Krok *et al.* (2023), the relationship between increased nodule number and mass following inoculation with *Bradyrhizobia* soybean varieties is discussed. The maximum formation of active nodule mass on the roots of different soybean varieties in the studies is consistent with the findings of other researchers (Korobko *et al.*, 2024).

N.M. Gitonga *et al.* (2021) found that some soybean varieties responded better to inoculation than others. The variety SC Squire showed a positive response to seed inoculation with rhizobia across all studied parameters, compared to the varieties SB 19 and Gazelle. This is confirmed by the results obtained from the study of the impact of seed inoculation on the formation of the legume-rhizobia symbiosis in soybeans and atmospheric nitrogen fixation. D. Miljković *et al.* (2022) also highlighted the impact of soybean inoculation with *Bradyrhizobia* on increased plant height, nodule number, and nodule mass. In the studies of C.E.N. Savala *et al.* (2022), nodule mass per plant increased from 7.7 to 167.6 mg for two soybean varieties, depending on growing conditions. Scientists believe that the effectiveness of inoculants in symbiosis depends on the compatibility of the strain with a specific soybean variety. An assessment of the symbiotic effectiveness of

eight *Bradyrhizobium* strains with five soybean varieties (Gishama, Awassa-95, Boshe, Hawassa-04, and Jalale) showed a significant impact on nodule number and mass (Beruk *et al.*, 2024). The formation of a legume-rhizobia symbiosis induces specific responses that enhance the functional level of the host plant's metabolism and improve its adaptive properties to adverse growing conditions (Nyzhnyk & Kots, 2023). According to M.D. Nakei *et al.* (2024), the effectiveness of soybean-rhizobia symbiosis depends on the rhizobium species, variety characteristics, and biotic factors. This is corroborated by the obtained data concerning the variation in inoculation effectiveness across different soybean varieties inoculated with the same strain.

For the effective functioning of the soybean-rhizobia symbiosis, careful selection of symbiotic partners is necessary, requiring continuous updating of soybean varieties and nitrogen-fixing bacterial strains. According to T. Nyzhnyk and S. Kots (2023), the resilience of the soybean-rhizobia symbiosis to water deficit depends on the active participation of both symbiotic partners, as well as the ability of the host plant and bacteria to activate adaptive defence systems for regulating key enzymatic processes involved in the metabolism of phenolic compounds. Therefore, the research findings confirm those of other scientists regarding the influence of inoculation, varietal characteristics, and ecological growing conditions on the formation of the legume-rhizobia symbiosis in soybeans. Optimising the management of biological nitrogen fixation is crucial for addressing the challenges of providing plants with nitrogen, reducing the use of nitrogen fertilisers, and preserving soil fertility. The use of sustainable alternatives will contribute to sustainable agriculture and biodiversity conservation.

CONCLUSIONS

The number of active nodules formed on plants across different years of research primarily depended on the hydrothermal coefficient of the soybean growing region and its varietal characteristics. The highest number of active nodules at BBCH microstage 51-59 was formed on the roots of soybean varieties in the Poltava Region in 2021, Vinnytsia Region in 2018, and Kyiv Region in 2014 on the varieties Hoverla, Artemida, and Ametyst, after seed inoculation with the biopreparation Optimize 200. At BBCH microstage 61-62, an increase in the number of active nodules on the roots of different soybean varieties was observed after seed inoculation compared to BBCH microstage 51-59, depending on the year and growing region. The highest number of active nodules on the roots of different soybean varieties after seed inoculation was recorded at BBCH microstage 68-69, depending on the year and growing region. In the Poltava Region under favourable conditions (2021), the number of active nodules after seed inoculation ranged from 46.7 to 50.3 mg/plant depending on the variety,

which is 17.0-18.4 more compared to non-inoculated variants. At BBCH microstage 80-90, a decrease in the number of active nodules on the roots of different soybean varieties was observed compared to the previous microstages (BBCH 61-62 and BBCH 68-69).

The highest active nodule mass at BBCH microstage 51-59 was observed on plant roots in Poltava Region in 2021, Vinnytsia Region in 2018, and Kyiv Region in 2014, specifically on the varieties Hoverla, Artemida, and Ametyst following seed inoculation. These findings are supported by the hydrothermal coefficient values for each region during the favourable growing year. An increase in active nodule mass was observed at BBCH microstage 61-62 across all varieties following seed inoculation compared to BBCH microstage 51-59, depending on the year and growing region. The maximum active nodule mass on soybean roots was recorded at BBCH microstage 68-69 in Poltava, Vinnytsia, and Kyiv regions. The most favourable hydrothermal conditions for all soybean varieties were observed in 2021 in Poltava Region. The mass of active nodules following seed inoculation ranged from 508.9 to 547.8 mg/plant, depending on the variety, which is 173.7-188.0 mg/plant higher compared to non-inoculated variants. At BBCH microstage 80-90, a decrease in active nodule mass on the roots of different soybean varieties was observed compared to the previous microstages.

Seed inoculation had the greatest impact on the formation of active symbiotic potential. The increase in active symbiotic potential in certain years of the study can be explained by high hydrothermal coefficient values. The highest active symbiotic potential was determined in soybean varieties Hoverla, Artemida, Ametyst, and Vezha. Cultivation of soybean varieties Ametyst, Artemida, and Hoverla contributed to the maximum accumulation of biologically fixed nitrogen when using seed inoculation with nitrogen-fixing bacteria under various ecological conditions. Biological nitrogen fixation by soybean varieties was significantly dependent on seed inoculation (96.89%). Varieties Ametyst, Artemida, and Hoverla provided the highest amount of biologically fixed nitrogen (95.5-101.2 kg/ha) when seeds were inoculated. With seed inoculation of varieties Ametyst, Artemida, and Hoverla, biological nitrogen fixation increased by 33.9-36.1 kg/ha compared to the non-inoculated variant. The results of this study confirm the prospects for further research on the effectiveness of legume-rhizobia symbiosis under the influence of seed inoculation in various ecological conditions, which will contribute to increasing the sustainability of agroecosystems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abd-Alla, M.H., Al-Amri, S.M., & El-Enany, A.-W.E. (2023). Enhancing *Rhizobium* – legume symbiosis and reducing nitrogen fertilizer use are potential options for mitigating climate change. *Agriculture*, 13(11), article number 2092. doi: [10.3390/agriculture13112092](https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13112092).
- [2] Beruk, H., Yoseph, T., & Ayalew, T. (2024). Unlocking the potential of inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* for enhanced growth and symbiotic responses in soybean varieties under controlled conditions. *Agronomy*, 14(6), article number 1280. doi: [10.3390/agronomy14061280](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy14061280).
- [3] Cigelske, B., Kandel, H., & DeSutter, T. (2020). Soybean nodulation and plant response to nitrogen and sulfur fertilization in the Northern US. *Agricultural Sciences*, 11, 592-607. doi: [10.4236/as.2020.116037](https://doi.org/10.4236/as.2020.116037).
- [4] Coba de la Pena, T., Fedorova, E., Pueyo, J.J., & Lucas, M.M. (2018). The symbiosome: Legume and rhizobia co-evolution toward a nitrogen-fixing organelle? *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, article number 2229. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2017.02229](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.02229).
- [5] Convention on Biological Diversity. (1992, June). Retrieved from https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/995_030#Text.
- [6] Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora. (1979, June). Retrieved from https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/995_129#Text.
- [7] Getachew Gebrehana, Z., & Abeble Dagnaw, L. (2020). Response of soybean to Rhizobial inoculation and starter N fertilizer on Nitisols of Assosa and Begi areas, Western Ethiopia. *Environmental Systems Research*, 9, article number 14. doi: [10.1186/s40068-020-00174-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40068-020-00174-5).
- [8] Gitonga, N.M., Njeru, E.M., Cheruiyot, R., Maingi, J.M., & Tejada Moral, M. (2021). *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation has a greater effect on soybean growth, production and yield quality in organic than conventional farming systems. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 7(1), article number 1935529. doi: [10.1080/23311932.2021.1935529](https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2021.1935529).
- [9] Hawkins, J., & Oresnik, I. (2021). The Rhizobium-legume symbiosis: Co-opting successful stress management. *Frontiers Plant Sciences*, 12, article number 796045. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2021.796045](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.796045).
- [10] Kebede, E. (2021). Contribution, utilization, and improvement of legumes-driven biological nitrogen fixation in agricultural systems. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 5, article number 767998. doi: [10.3389/fsufs.2021.767998](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.767998).
- [11] Korobko, A., Kravets, R., Mazur, O., Mazur, O., & Shevchenko, N. (2024). Nitrogen-fixing capacity of soybean varieties depending on seed inoculation and foliar fertilization with biopreparations. *Journal of Ecological Engineering*, 25(4), 23-37. doi: [10.12911/22998993/183497](https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/183497).
- [12] Kots, S.Ya. (2021). Biological nitrogen fixation: achievements and prospects. *Plant Physiology and Genetics*, 53(2), 128-159. doi: [10.15407/frg2021.02.128](https://doi.org/10.15407/frg2021.02.128).
- [13] Krutylo, D. (2023). Symbiotic interaction between a mixture of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* strains and different soybean cultivars. *Agricultural Science and Practice*, 9(3), 36-48. doi: [10.15407/agrisp9.03.036](https://doi.org/10.15407/agrisp9.03.036).
- [14] Krutylo, D., Leonova, N., & Nadkernychna, O. (2021). Characterization of bradyrhizobia associated with soybean plants grown in Ukraine. *Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences*, 9(5), 983-987. doi: [10.15414/jmbfs.2020.9.5.983-987](https://doi.org/10.15414/jmbfs.2020.9.5.983-987).
- [15] Langyan, S., Yadava, P., Khan, F.N., Dar, Z.A., Singh, R., & Kumar, A. (2022). Sustaining protein nutrition through plant-based foods. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 8, article number 772573. doi: [10.3389/fnut.2021.772573](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.772573).
- [16] Mamenko, T.P. (2021). Regulation of legume-rhizobial symbiosis: Molecular genetic aspects and participation of reactive oxygen species. *Cytology and Genetics*, 55, 447-459. doi: [10.3103/S0095452721050078](https://doi.org/10.3103/S0095452721050078).
- [17] Mazur, O., Tkachuk, O., Mazur, O., Voloshyna, O., Tunko, V., & Yakovets, L. (2024). Formation of yield and grain quality of spring barley depending on fertiliser optimisation. *Ecological Engineering & Environmental Technology*, 25(4), 282-291. doi: [10.12912/27197050/183939](https://doi.org/10.12912/27197050/183939).
- [18] McCormick, S. (2018). Rhizobial strain-dependent restriction of nitrogen fixation in a legume-Rhizobium symbiosis. *The Plant Journal*, 93(1), 3-4. doi: [10.1111/tpj.13791](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13791).
- [19] Mendoza-Suárez, M.A., Geddes, B.A., Sánchez-Cañizares, C., Ramírez-González, R.H., Kirchhelle, C., Jorin, B., & Poole, P.S. (2020). Optimising Rhizobium-legume symbioses by simultaneous measurement of rhizobial competitiveness and N₂ fixation in nodules. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 117(18), 9822-9831. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1921225117](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1921225117).
- [20] Miljaković, D., Marinković, J., Ignjatov, M., Milošević, D., Nikolić, Z., Tintor, B., & Đukić, V. (2022). Competitiveness of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* inoculation strain for soybean nodule occupancy. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, 68, 59-64. doi: [10.17221/430/2021-PSE](https://doi.org/10.17221/430/2021-PSE).
- [21] Nakei, M.D., Venkataramana, P.B., & Ndakidemi, P.A. (2022). Soybean-nodulating Rhizobia: Ecology, characterization, diversity and, plant growth promoting functions. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 6, article number 824444. doi: [10.3389/fsufs.2022.824444](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2022.824444).
- [22] Nyzhnyk, T., & Kots, S. (2023). Key role of phenol enzymes metabolism in the legume -rhizobial symbiosis under different water supply regimes. *Biosystems Diversity*, 31(3), 305-312. doi: [10.15421/012335](https://doi.org/10.15421/012335).

- [23] Omari, R.A., Yuan, K., Anh, K.T., Reckling, M., Halwani, M., Egamberdieva, D., Ohkama-Ohtsu, N., & Bellingrath-Kimura, S.D. (2022). Enhanced soybean productivity by inoculation with indigenous bradyrhizobium strains in agroecological conditions of northeast Germany. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 12, article number 707080. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2021.707080](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.707080).
- [24] Panchyshyn, V., Moisiienko, V., Kotelnyska, A., Tymoshchuk, T., & Stotska, S. (2023). Formation of narrow-leaved lupine productivity depending on seed inoculation and fertilization. *Scientific Horizons*, 26(1), 31-42. doi: [10.48077/scihor.26\(1\).2023.31-42](https://doi.org/10.48077/scihor.26(1).2023.31-42).
- [25] Roy Choudhury, S., Johns, S.M., & Pandey, S. (2019). A convenient, soil-free method for the production of root nodules in soybean to study the effects of exogenous additives. *Plant Direct*, 3(4), article number e00135. doi: [10.1002/pld3.135](https://doi.org/10.1002/pld3.135).
- [26] Savala, C.E.N., Wiredu, A.N., Chikoye, D., & Kyei-Boahen, S. (2022). Prospects and potential of *Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens* based bio-inoculants on soybean production in different agro-ecologies of Mozambique. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 6, article number 908231. doi: [10.3389/fsufs.2022.908231](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2022.908231).
- [27] Sinclai, T.R., & Nogueira, M.A. (2018). Selection of host-plant genotype: The next step to increase grain legume N₂ fixation activity. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 69(15), 3523-3530. doi: [10.1093/jxb/ery115](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery115).
- [28] Soumare, A., Diedhiou, A.G., Thuita, M., Hafidi, M., Ouhdouch, Y., Gopalakrishnan, S., & Kouisni, L. (2020). Exploiting biological nitrogen fixation: A route towards a sustainable agriculture. *Plants*, 9(8), article number 1011. doi: [10.3390/plants9081011](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9081011).
- [29] Sousa, W., Soratto, P., Peixoto, D., Campos, T.S., da Silva, M.B., Vaz Souza, A.G., Teixeira, I.R., & Gitari, H.I. (2022). Effects of *Rhizobium* inoculum compared with mineral nitrogen fertilizer on nodulation and seed yield of common bean. A meta-analysis. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 42(2), article number 52. doi: [10.1007/s13593-022-00784-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-022-00784-6).
- [30] Szpunar-Krok, E., Bobrecka-Jamro, D., Piłkuła, W., & Jańczak-Pieniążek, M. (2023). Effect of nitrogen fertilization and inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* on nodulation and yielding of soybean. *Agronomy*, 13, article number 1341. doi: [10.3390/agronomy13051341](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13051341).
- [31] Vasconcelos, M.W., et al. (2020). The biology of legumes and their agronomic, economic, and social impact. In M. Hasanuzzaman, S. Araújo & S. Gill (Eds.), *The plant family Fabaceae* (pp. 3-25). Singapore: Springer. doi: [10.1007/978-981-15-4752-2_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-4752-2_1).

Дослідження бобово-ризобіального симбіозу сої для стійкості агроєкосистем

Олександр Мазур

Кандидат сільськогосподарських наук, доцент
Вінницький національний аграрний університет
21008, вул. Сонячна, 3, м. Вінниця, Україна
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2237-5116>

Олена Мазур

Кандидат сільськогосподарських наук, доцент
Вінницький національний аграрний університет
21008, вул. Сонячна, 3, м. Вінниця, Україна
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0132-7470>

Тетяна Тимошук

Кандидат сільськогосподарських наук, доцент
Поліський національний університет
10008, бульв. Старий, 7, м. Житомир, Україна
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8980-7334>

Ігор Дідур

Доктор сільськогосподарських наук, професор
Вінницький національний аграрний університет
21008, вул. Сонячна, 3, м. Вінниця, Україна
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6612-6592>

В'ячеслав Циганський

Кандидат сільськогосподарських наук, доцент
Вінницький національний аграрний університет
21008, вул. Сонячна, 3, м. Вінниця, Україна
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5157-9807>

Анотація. Дослідження інноваційних методів регуляції бобово-ризобіального симбіозу є важливим для покращення азотфіксації, що сприяє збереженню здоров'я ґрунтів та підвищенню врожайності. Це забезпечує стале сільське господарство, знижуючи потребу в хімічних добривах і підвищуючи стійкість агроєкосистем. Метою роботи було з'ясувати вплив інокуляції насіння, екологічних умов і сортових особливостей сортів сої на накопичення біологічного азоту. Дослідження проводили у екологічних умовах Київської, Полтавської та Вінницької областей протягом 2010-2021 рр. Агротехніка вирощування сортів сої була загальноприйнята для умов регіонів дослідження. Наведено результати досліджень впливу інокуляції насіння на динаміку формування та продуктивність соєво-ризобіального симбіозу за наявності в ґрунті фонових популяцій ризобій сої. Визначено азотфіксувальний потенціал сортів сої за дії інокуляції. Інокуляція підвищує ефективність симбіотичної системи сої. Доведено, що в різних екологічних умовах інокуляція насіння *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* покращує формування і функціонування симбіотичного апарату сої навіть на фоні ґрунтової популяції бульбочкових бактерій. Дія інокуляції насіння залежить від гідротермічного режиму року, екологічних умов і сорту сої. Застосування інокуляції насіння сприяє формуванню більшої маси активних бульбочок на коренях рослин, що позитивно вплинуло на азотфіксацію. Найвищі показники азотфіксації встановлено у сортів сої Аметист, Артеміда і Говерла у різних екологічних умовах. Інокуляція насіння забезпечила збільшення кількості біологічного азоту цих сортів на 33.9-36.1 кг/га. Сорти сої Аметист, Артеміда і Говерла відзначилися вищою реакцією на інокуляцію насіння азотфіксувальними бактеріями і рекомендуються для вирощування у різних екологічних умовах. Інноваційні рішення підвищення ефективності функціонування бобово-ризобіального симбіозу сої за використання біологічних препаратів на основі азотфіксувальних бактерій дозволять розробити біологізовані технології вирощування культури для стійкості агроєкосистем

Ключові слова: кількість і маса бульбочок; азотфіксація; симбіотичний потенціал; інокуляція; гідротермічний коефіцієнт; ризобії; біологічно-фіксований азот